



Solid State Communications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ssc



CrossMark

# Communication Pressure-induced metallization in Erbium trihydride

M.A. Kuzovnikov<sup>a,c</sup>, M.I. Eremets<sup>b</sup>, A.P. Drozdov<sup>b</sup>, M. Tkacz<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Physical Chemistry, PAS, Warsaw, Poland

<sup>b</sup> Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Solid State Physics RAS, Chernogolovka, Russia

ARTICLE INFO

Communicated by Y. E. Lozovik Keywords: Semiconductors High pressure Metallization

# ABSTRACT

Electrical resistivity and Raman spectra of  $ErH_3$  were studied in a diamond anvil cell under high pressure up to 140 GPa in the temperature range 4–300 K. A crossover from a semiconductor-like to a metallic temperature dependence of resistivity at fixed pressures was observed at about 50 GPa. In the pressure range 80–140 GPa a resistivity maximum was observed at the R(T) dependencies. The temperature corresponding to this maximum linearly increased with pressure increase, reaching 26 K at 140 GPa. No superconductivity was observed in the studied pressure-temperature range.

# 1. Introduction

All rare-earth metals (REMs), counting Sc and Y, react with hydrogen under ambient or relatively mild conditions, forming hydrides with composition H/Me = 2-3. With the exception of Eu and Yb, which are irregular members of the family, the behavior of REMs in a hydrogen atmosphere is universal. The dihydrides of all regular REMs and the trihydrides of La, Ce and Pr have an fcc lattice of metal atoms. The metal lattice in the trihydrides of Nd, Sm, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Lu, Y and Sc at ambient pressure is hcp. However, if sufficient pressure is applied to these trihydrides, their metal lattice can also be transformed into an fcc one [1]. This transformation occurs via an intermediate phase with a long-period stacking sequence of alternating hcp and fcc layers [2].

The electrical properties of REM trihydrides differ significantly from that of REM dihydrides – the former are narrow bandgap semiconductors, whereas the latter are metals at their respective stoichiometry. Thus, a composition alteration between H/Me = 2 and 3 in REM hydrides results in a metal-to-semiconductor transition. As demonstrated in Ref. [3] for yttrium hydride, this unique property can be used in a design of switchable mirrors for visible light.

The semiconductor-to-metal transition in REM trihydrides can be driven not only by composition change, but also by a pressure application. It was predicted that the high pressure fcc phases of YH<sub>3</sub> [4], ErH<sub>3</sub> [5,6] and HoH<sub>3</sub> [6] should be metallic. Moreover, ab-initio calculations predicted the fcc trihydrides of rare-earth metals to be superconductors, particularly, for fcc-YH<sub>3</sub> the superconductive transition temperature could reach 40 K [7].

Later IR absorption [8] and electrical resistivity [9] measurements

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2017.07.004

Received 17 June 2017; Received in revised form 12 July 2017; Accepted 12 July 2017 Available online 13 July 2017

0038-1098/ $\odot$  2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

on YH<sub>3</sub> under high pressure were interpreted as the metallization at the pressures 25 and 70 GPa respectively. According to Ref. [2] the formation of fcc-YH<sub>3</sub> is complete at 22 GPa, thus, the semiconductor-to-metal transition is not associated with the formation of the fcc phase, but occurs for fcc-YH<sub>3</sub> alone.

A resistivity maximum was observed above 40 GPa and below 15 K at the R(T) dependencies for YH<sub>3</sub> at constant pressures, but no superconductivity was detected [9]. The origin of this anomaly was unknown.

Because no other REM trihydrides were studied by electrical transport measurements under high pressure, it is unclear, if the metallization scenario of  $YH_3$  is typical for other REMs. To verify this we performed the resistivity measurements of  $ErH_3$ , which metallization was predicted in [5,6], at pressures ~ 140 GPa. Raman spectroscopy was used to observe the structural changes in the sample.

#### 2. Experimental

Erbium metal of 99.9% purity was first annealed in a vacuum of about  $10^{-3}$  T at 400 °C for 4 h, and then exposed to the hydrogen gas at a pressure of about 100 bars at 200 °C for 24 h. The XRD pattern of the resulting ErH<sub>3</sub>, measured with the Empyrean diffractometer using CuK $\alpha$  radiation, is shown in Fig. 1. The lattice parameters for ErH<sub>3</sub> well agree with the literature data for stoichiometric trihydride [10], and no impurity phases were detected to an accuracy of 5 wt%.

The diamond anvil cell (DAC), beveled at 7–8° to a culet of 30  $\mu m$  was used. A mixture of CaF<sub>2</sub> and epoxy resin was used to insulate four van der Pauw electrodes made of 2  $\mu m$  platinum foil from each other and from the supporting stainless steel gasket. The samples were

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. *E-mail addresses:* mtkacz@ichf.edu.pl, prof.tkaczm@yahoo.com (M. Tkacz).



**Fig. 1.** (Color online) XRD pattern of the initial  $ErH_3$  sample (black), its Rietveld fit (red) and fit residue (blue). Ambient conditions, CuK $\alpha$  radiation. Due to a strong texture the (002) peak was excluded from the refinement, as shown by the gray shadowed area.



**Fig. 2.** (Color online) Layout of the sample and the platinum electrodes in the DAC. Channel H indicates channel for current – the current was put through these electrodes, and the voltage measured with two other electrodes (V channel).

loaded into a 20  $\mu$ m hole drilled in a gasket preindented from 250 to a 5  $\mu$ m thickness. The layout of the sample in the DAC is shown in Fig. 2. For the channel H means channel for current – the current was put through these electrodes, and the voltage measured with two other electrodes (another channel).

No pressure transmitting medium was used. The pressure was determined by the diamond edge scale [11]. The Raman spectra were excited by a 632.8 nm He–Ne laser line and recorded by a Raman spectrometer equipped with a nitrogen-cooled CCD and notch filters.

# 3. Results and discussion

Typical Raman spectra of  $\text{ErH}_3$  for different pressures are shown in Fig. 3. The peak at 500 cm<sup>-1</sup> disappears gradually in the pressure range 0–5 GPa. At about 13 GPa the peaks at 650 and 850 cm<sup>-1</sup> disappear suddenly, and a new peak at 900 cm<sup>-1</sup> emerges. Such changes are due to the formation of the intermediate phase between the hcp and fcc ones. At further pressure increase, all Raman peaks disappear gradually in the range 13–30 GPa, which is a result of a continuous transformation of the intermediate phase into the fcc one. At higher pressures no Raman signal can be recorded from the sample as a consequence of decreasing penetration depth of the laser beam into the sample, caused by gradual metallization. Similar pressure evolution of Raman spectra were reported for YH<sub>3</sub> [12].

The electrical resistance measurements were measured starting from 20 GPa. At this pressure the formation of the fcc-ErH<sub>3</sub> is complete [13], thus, the resistivity of only fcc phase can be studied. The pressure was increased in steps at ambient temperature, and the R(T) depen-



Fig. 3. Raman spectra of ErH<sub>3</sub> measured at different pressures and room temperature.



Fig. 4. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the electrical resistance of  $ErH_3$  during compression in the DAC.

dencies were recorded when cooling the DAC cell to 4 K and warming it to room temperature at fixed pressures, as shown in Fig. 4.

The R(T) at 20 GPa had typical semiconductor-like behavior. We noticed a significant photoconductivity at this pressure, responsible for sudden jumps in R. At 45 GPa and above the photoconductivity disappeared. Small hysteresis between cooling and warming was observed in R(T) for most pressures, indicating pressure drift during the cooling-warming cycle. At 70 GPa and above the R(T) dependence became weak, and no significant increase in R could be observed at 4 K comparing to ambient temperature. Thus, we regard the sample state as metallic from this point, and we estimate the metallization pressure in  $ErH_3$  as 50(20) GPa.



Fig. 5. (a, b) R(T) dependence of ErH<sub>3</sub> at 140 GPa showing a characteristic maximum at T<sub>m</sub> (left) and pressure evolution of T<sub>m</sub> (right).

A small but reproducible maximum in the R(T) dependencies was observed at 80 GPa and above. A detailed view of this feature at 140 GPa is shown in Fig. 5a. The pressure dependence of the corresponding temperatures  $T_{\rm m}(P)$  is shown in Fig. 5b. Similar anomaly was reported earlier for YH<sub>3</sub> [9].

Most REMs, as well as their di- and trihydrides are magnetically ordered at low temperatures. A detailed review of the magnetic ordering in REMs and their hydrides is given in Refs. [14,15] respectively. At ambient pressure pure erbium has three magnetically ordered phases: one ferromagnetic at the range T = 0-20 K, and two antiferromagnetic at T = 20-54 K and T = 54-85 K respectively. At their stoichiometry, erbium di- and trihydride are antiferromagnets with  $T_{\rm N} = 2.2$  K and 0.6 K respectively. Such low ordering temperatures result from low or zero electron density of states at the Fermi level of a system near the insulator-metal transition, which makes indirect exchange interaction between the localized 4f electrons mediated by the conduction electrons inefficient.

High pressure significantly increases the electron density of states at the Fermi level in  $\text{ErH}_3$ , manifesting in a resistance decrease by at least three orders of magnitude (see Fig. 4). At such conditions it is reasonable to suppose that a magnetic ordering temperature in  $\text{ErH}_3$ should raise with pressure increase. The magnetic ordering temperature (e.g. the Néel point) typically manifests itself in a resistivity maximum, which provides a feasible explanation to the R(T) anomaly in Fig. 5.

A disagreement between the theoretical predictions of superconductivity in the high pressure fcc phase of REM trihydrides [7] and experiment could be explained recalling that the calculations were nonspin-polarized.

## 4. Conclusions

We observed a gradual metallization of  $fcc-ErH_3$  at a pressure of about 50 GPa by the means of Raman spectroscopy and electrical

resistance measurements, similarly to the observation of fcc-YH<sub>3</sub> metallization in Ref. [9]. Such behavior should be typical for all late REM trihydrides. No superconductivity was observed in ErH<sub>3</sub> up to 140 GPa and down to 4 K. Instead of superconductivity, an anomaly was observed at low temperatures at the R(T) dependencies, presumably indicating a magnetic ordering. Our results suggest the need of taking into account spin polarization of the localized 4f electrons of REM atoms in the ab-initio calculations for the better description of the ground state of REM compounds.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the National Science Centre, Poland-UMO-2011/03/B/ST4/02476 (Opus 3).

M.I.E. appreciates a financial support from the European Research Council 2010-Advanced Grant 267777.

## References

- [1] T. Palasyuk, M. Tkacz, Solid State Commun. 141 (2007) 354-358.
- [2] A. Machida, A. Ohmura, T. Watanuki, K. Aoki, K. Takemura, Phys. Rev. B 76 (2007) 052101.
- [3] J.N. Huiberts, R. Griessen, J.H. Rector, R.J. Wijngaarden, J.P. Dekker, D.G. de Groot, et al., Nature 380 (1996) 231.
- [4] R. Ahuja, B. Johansson, J.M. Wills, O. Eriksson, Appl. Phys. Lett. 71 (1997) 3498–3500.
- [5] C.M. Araújo, A.F. da Silva, R. Ahuja, Phys. Stat. Sol. (b) 241 (14) (2004) 3219–3223.
- [6] P. Hou, F. Tian, D. Li, B. Chu, Z. Zhao, B. Liu, T. Cui, J. Chem. Phys. 141 (2014) 054703.
  [7] D.Y. Kim, R.H. Scheicher, H.-K. Mao, T.W. Kang, R. Ahuja, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
- USA 107 (2010) 2793–2796. [8] A. Ohmura, A. Machida, T. Watanuki, K. Aoki, S. Nakano, K. Takemura, Phys. Rev.
- B 73 (2006) 104105.
  [9] H. Nguyen, Z. Chi, T. Matsuoka, T. Kagayama, K. Shimizu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 81 (2012) SB041.
- [10] A. Pebler, W.E. Wallace, J. Phys. Chem. 66 (1962) 148–151.
- [11] Y. Akahama, H. Kawamura, High Press. Res. 27 (4) (2007) 473-482.

- [12] T. Kume, H. Ohura, T. Takeichi, S. Sasaki, H. Shimizu, A. Ohmura, A. Machida, T. Watanuki, K. Aoki, K. Takemura, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 121 (2008) 042011.
  [13] T. Palasyuk, M. Tkacz, P. Vajda, Solid State Commun. 135 (2005) 226–231.
  [14] H. Drulis, M. Drulis, 2 Magnetic properties of rare earth elements, alloys and compounds, in: H.P.J. Wijn (Ed.)Magnetic Properties of Metals: Rare Earth

Elements, Hydrides and Mutual Alloys, Landolt-Börnstein, Aachen, Germany, 1991, pp. 1-189 (New Series III/19d1).

[15] G. Wiesinger, G. Hilscher, Chapter 5 – magnetism in hydrides, in: K.H.J. Buschow (Ed.), Handbook of Magnetic Materials 17, Elsevier Science, 2007, pp. 293–456.