ELECTRONIC PROPERTIES OF SOLIDS

High-Pressure Hydrogenated Fullerenes: Optical Spectra and Stability of C₆₀H₃₆ at High Pressure[¶]

K. P. Meletov^a and G. A. Kourouklis^b

^aInstitute of Solid State Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Chernogolovka, Moscow oblast, 142432 Russia

e-mail: mele@issp.ac.ru ^bPhysics Division, School of Technology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece GR-540 06 Received October 14, 2004

Abstract—The optical Raman and photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the high-pressure hydrogenated fullerene C_{60} are studied at normal conditions and at high pressure. The Raman spectrum of the most stable hydrofullerene $C_{60}H_{36}$ contains a large number of peaks related to various isomers of this molecule. Comparison of the experimental data with the results of calculations shows that the most abundant isomers have the symmetries S_6 , T, and D_{3d} . The Raman spectrum of deuterofullerene $C_{60}D_{36}$ is similar to that of $C_{60}H_{36}$, but the frequencies of the C–H stretching and bending modes are shifted due to the isotopic effect. The PL spectrum of hydrofullerene $C_{60}H_{36}$ is shifted to higher energies by approximately 1 eV with respect to that of pristine C_{60} . The effect of hydrostatic pressure on the Raman and PL spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$ has been investigated up to 12 GPa. The pressure dependence of the phonon frequencies exhibits peculiarities at approximately 0.6 and 6 GPa. The changes observed at approximately 0.6 GPa are probably related to a phase transition from the initial orientationally disordered body-centered cubic structure to an orientationally ordered structure. The peculiarity at approximately 6 GPa may be related to a pressure-driven enhancement of the C–H interaction between the hydrogen and carbon atoms belonging to neighboring molecular cages. The pressure shift was observed at higher pressure. All the observed pressure effects are reversible with pressure. © 2005 Pleiades Publishing, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hydrofullerenes have attracted considerable interest, particularly in relation to their potential use as hydrogen storage materials [1]. The existence of several hydrofullerenes has been predicted by theoretical calculations and some of them have been synthesized [2–5]. The most stable member, $C_{60}H_{36}$, is the hydrogenated derivative of the pristine C_{60} molecule, which can be prepared using either the high-pressure hydrogenation [6] or the hydrogen-atom transfer to C_{60} from other reagents in solution [7]. Hydrofullerene samples prepared by the use of both methods are usually rather nonuniform and may contain hydrides with various mass weights, as well as a small amount of reagents. Characterization of the hydrogenation reaction products is therefore of great importance and various methods such as electron, X-ray, and neutron diffraction; nuclear magnetic resonance; infrared spectroscopy; and laser desorption mass spectrometry have been used for this purpose [4–6, 8–10].

The $C_{60}H_{36}$ molecule can exist in a great number of isomeric forms, but only a small number of them are

stable [11]. The isomeric form with the highest symmetry, T_h , has 12 double bonds, arranged as far apart as possible on its surface, while the form having the double bonds in four isolated aromatic six-member rings lacking hydrogen atoms and located at the corners of a tetrahedron has a T-symmetry structure. Between these two extremes are the isomers with the symmetry D_{3d} and S_6 , which have two six-member rings at the threefold axis poles of the molecule, with the other six double bonds isolated in six pentagons. The presence of various isomers in the C60H36 specimens most likely depends on the preparation method and on the kinetic parameters controlling the hydrogen addition reaction. Thus, $C_{60}H_{36}$ prepared by transfer hydrogenation of C_{60} contains a mixture of the principal isomers D_{3d} and S_6 while C₆₀H₃₆ prepared by zinc reduction of C₆₀ in aromatic solvents contains the S_6 isomer as the most abundant [12-14]. Concerning the solid-state phase of $C_{60}H_{36}$, Hall *et al.* [9] have suggested the body-centered cubic structure (BCC) with the cell parameter $11.785 \pm$ 0.015 Å for the packing of the molecules in the crystalline state. Furthermore, they suppose, at least for the D_{3d} isomer, that the BCC crystal structure transforms into a body-centered tetragonal one at low temperatures.

[¶] This article was submitted by the authors in English.

The optical characterization of hydrofullerenes is of great importance and Raman scattering was successfully used for studying the vibrational spectrum of $C_{60}H_{36}$ prepared by the transfer hydrogenation method [12]. The incorporation of 36 hydrogen atoms in the C_{60} cage lowers the molecular symmetry and activates Raman scattering from a variety of initially forbidden phonon modes. In addition, the appearance of the C-H stretching and bending modes and those related to various isomers of C₆₀H₃₆ results in a very rich Raman spectrum [12]. The comparison of the phonon frequencies for five principal isomers of $C_{60}H_{36}$ obtained by molecular dynamics calculations with the experimentally observed phonon frequencies has led to the conclusion that the material prepared by the transfer hydrogenation method mainly contains two isomers, those with the symmetries D_{3d} and S_6 [12].

In this paper, we study the optical Raman and PL spectra of the hydro- and deuterofullerene $C_{60}H_{36}$ and $C_{60}D_{36}$, respectively, prepared by high-pressure hydrogenation. The aim was to identify the phonon and electron energy spectra of the high-pressure hydrogenated fullerene, to clarify the isomer composition and homogeneity of samples, and to study the isotopic effects in the vibrational spectra. The Raman spectra of the highpressure hydrogenated samples were compared with those obtained by transfer hydrogenation and with the molecular dynamics calculation data [12]. The Raman data show the presence of all principal isomers in the high-pressure hydrogenated fullerenes and a large isotopic shift for the C-H stretching mode, whereas the shift of the modes related to the fullerene molecular cage is negligible. We have also studied the pressure behavior of the Raman and PL spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$ at pressure up to 12 GPa in order to obtain information about the structural and chemical stability of the material at high pressure. The incorporation of hydrogen in the fullerene molecular cage may play an important role in the stability of the material, in particular, it may prevent the pressure-induced polymerization that is typical of pristine C₆₀ under high-pressure and hightemperature treatment [15]. The incorporation of hydrogen may also affect the pressure-induced phase transition of the rotational disorder-order nature analogously to the case of pristine C_{60} . We have studied the pressure behavior of phonon frequencies and the pressure-induced shift of electronic bands. The pressure coefficients of the phonon modes are positive and demonstrate singularities at approximately 0.6 and 6 GPa. The pressure shift of the luminescence spectrum is unusually small and increases somehow at $P \ge 6$ GPa. All the observed features are reversible with pressure, and $C_{60}H_{36}$ is stable in the pressure region investigated.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

The commercial material, C_{60} of 99.99% purity, was sublimed twice in vacuum better than 10^{-5} Torr at

800 K and was then compacted into pellets 12 mm in diameter and 1 mm in thickness. Each pellet was placed into a copper capsule, covered with a disc of 0.01-mm thick Pd foil, and then annealed in vacuum at 620 K for 2 h to expel desorbed gases. The remaining volume in the capsule was filled with AlH₃ or AlD₃ for hydrogenation or deuteration, respectively, and tightly plugged with a copper lid using gallium as a solder. This encapsulation procedure effectively prevents hydrogen or deuterium losses during subsequent treatment, because both Cu and Ga are largely impermeable to hydrogen.

The assembled capsules were pressurized to 3.0 GPa in a toroid-type high-pressure cell and maintained at 650 ± 10 K or 700 ± 10 K for a time of 24 or 48 h. AlH₃ decomposes above 400 K [16], producing hydrogen that reacts with the fullerite after permeating the Pd foil, which isolates the fullerite from chemically active Al. The amount of the hydrogen gas produced inside the capsule corresponds to a particle ratio of $H/C_{60} \approx 90$. Therefore, the available hydrogen quantity is always in excess of the number of C₆₀ particles during the hydrogenation experiments. The hydrogenation procedure was repeated for a second run, with the product of the first run taken as the starting material for the second run. Preliminary mass-spectrometry data show that at least 95% of the material in the capsule is hydrofullerene $C_{60}H_{36}$, while the remaining 5% contains partially hydrogenated fullerenes. The X-ray analysis of the obtained material shows that it has the BCC structure, typical of $C_{60}H_{36}$ [9], with a lattice parameter of 11.83 Å.

For optical measurements, visually uniform, colorless, and transparent specimens were selected. Raman spectra were recorded using a DILOR XY-500 triple monochromator equipped with a CCD liquid-nitrogencooled detector system. The spectra were taken in the backscattering geometry by the use of the micro-Raman system comprising an OLYMPUS microscope equipped with an MSPlan100 objective with magnification 100 and spatial resolution approximately 1.7 µm. The spectral width of the system was approximately 2.0 cm⁻¹. The Raman frequencies were calibrated by the use of the low-pressure Ne lamp with the accuracy better than 0.2 cm⁻¹. To avoid interference from luminescence, the sample was excited by the 676.4 nm line of the Kr⁺ laser, whose energy is below the fundamental absorption gap of the material. The laser power was varied from 5 to 10 mW, measured directly before the sample, to avoid the destruction of the samples by laser heating. The phonon frequencies were obtained by fitting Gaussian line shapes to the experimental Raman spectra. The PL spectra were recorded using a single JOBIN YVON THR-1000 monochromator equipped with a CCD liquid-nitrogencooled detector system. The 457.9 nm line of an Ar+ laser was used for excitation of the luminescence spectra. The laser power was about 2 mW measured directly in front of the high-pressure cell; the spectral width of



Fig. 1. Raman spectra of the $C_{60}H_{36}$ (a) and $C_{60}D_{36}$ (b) samples taken at ambient conditions in the energy range 50–3100 cm⁻¹. The samples were prepared by means of high-pressure hydrogenation at P = 3.0 GPa and T = 700 K over a reaction time of about 24 h.

the system was approximately 1.0 cm^{-1} . The measurements at high pressure were carried out using the diamond anvil cell (DAC) of the Mao–Bell type [17]. A 4 : 1 methanol–ethanol mixture was used as a pressure-transmitting medium, and the ruby fluorescence technique was used for pressure calibration [18]. The samples used for the high-pressure measurements had typical dimensions of approximately 100 µm.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Isomeric Composition and Isotopic Effect in $C_{60}H_{36}$

The Raman spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$ and $C_{60}D_{36}$ taken in the frequency region 50–3150 cm⁻¹ at ambient conditions are shown in Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b, respectively. Both samples were synthesized at the pressure 3.0 GPa, temperature 700 K and reaction time approximately 24 hours. The spectrum in Fig. 1a consists of 126 sharp peaks with the lowest mode located at 86 cm⁻¹ and the highest at 2912 cm⁻¹. For comparison, the Raman spectrum of pristine C₆₀ contains only ten active modes, $H_g(1) - H_g(8)$ and $A_g(1) - A_g(2)$, with frequencies located in the region 273–1726 cm⁻¹ [19]. The spectrum in Fig. 1a was taken at the best site of the best sample, selected from the content of the ampule by preliminary micro-Raman probing as having the lowest background and the clearest Raman signal. A number of rather good samples, taken from the same ampule, show less intense Raman peaks with respect to the relatively large background. The higher background is probably a result of a higher concentration of structural defects and impurities in the sample under study. These impurities may be microscopic amounts of partially hydrogenated fullerenes, which fluoresce under Krlaser excitation in the spectral region under investigation. The majority of the selected samples from the content of the ampule show a Raman signal similar to that of the best one, but there are also many samples that give a large background obscuring the structure of the Raman spectrum. The samples obtained by high-pressure hydrogenation are rather nonuniform, and great care should be exercised in sample selection. Using the micro-Raman probe, we checked a number of samples from different ampules in order to examine their quality in relation to the hydrogenation parameters, namely, temperature and reaction time. The results show that the sample quality does not depend significantly on the reaction time; on the contrary, temperature drastically affects their optical quality. Samples hydrogenated at 700 K show a better optical quality, and their Raman spectra show a rich structure, well-resolved intense peaks, and relatively small background.

The Raman spectrum of $C_{60}H_{36}$ differs drastically from that of pristine C_{60} . The most important differences in their spectra are as follows:

(i) The number of the Raman-active modes increases dramatically.

(ii) The low-energy radial modes $(200-600 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ exhibit a considerable intensity enhancement with respect to the high-energy tangential modes $(1400-1700 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. In addition, a number of new modes appear that are related to the C–H bending $(1150-1350 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ and stretching $(2800-3000 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ vibrations.

The vibrational data related to the Raman spectrum of C₆₀H₃₆ are summarized in Table 1. The first three columns of Table 1 contain the data related to the number, position, and intensity of the Raman peaks. The next two columns contain experimental results related to the positions and intensities of the Raman peaks of $C_{60}H_{36}$ reported in previous studies [12, 13]. The last three columns of Table 1 are related to the frequency, Raman cross section, and symmetry of the Raman-active modes of various isomers of the C₆₀H₃₆ molecule according to calculations [12] using the modified MNDO method. The comparison of the present experimental data with those in [12, 13] shows that the Raman spectrum of high-pressure hydrogenated $C_{60}H_{36}$ is more than five times richer than that of transfer hydrogenated C₆₀H₃₆. The majority of the experimentally observed Raman peaks (86 out of a total of 126 peaks) are very close, to an accuracy of approximately 5 cm^{-1} , to the calculated frequencies and cross sections of the Raman-active modes (their total number is approximately 400) [12]. The peaks that are close to the calculated frequencies are assigned to all principal isomers, but a majority of them belong to isomers with the symmetries S_6 , T, and D_{3d} . We emphasize that the complexity of the calculated vibrational spectrum, the large number of isomers, and the accuracy of the molecular dynamics calculations might sometimes result in an accidental agreement (disagreement) of the experimental and calculated data. The peaks that are rather far from the calculated Raman frequencies belong mainly to the low-energy radial modes of the fullerene cage and are probably related to the presence of other isomers of $C_{60}H_{36}$ in the samples under study.

The Raman spectrum of deuterofullerene $C_{60}D_{36}$ taken at ambient conditions, is shown in Fig. 1b. A first glance at the Raman spectra of hydro- and deuterofullerene indicates that they have several similarities, but an important difference is also apparent. The spectrum is less rich in structure than that of $C_{60}H_{36}$. It contains about 80 peaks, probably due to a different isomer composition of $C_{60}D_{36}$ samples. The positions of the C–D stretching modes are shifted towards lower energies with respect to the C-H stretching modes. The related Raman peaks are located in the frequency regions 2113–2209 cm⁻¹ and 2827–2912 cm⁻¹ for $C_{60}D_{36}$ and $C_{60}H_{36}$, respectively. A similar shift is also observed for the C-D bending modes, which are located in the frequency regions 800-1200 cm⁻¹ and 1150-1350 cm⁻¹ for $C_{60}D_{36}$ and $C_{60}H_{36}$, respectively. We note that the Raman spectrum of $C_{60}D_{36}$ also contains several very weak peaks near 2900 cm⁻¹, which are coincident with C–H stretching modes of $C_{60}H_{36}$. This is related to the isotopic contamination of the samples under study, which contain a small amount of $C_{60}H_{36}$ caused by initial isotopic contamination of the deuterium provider (AlD_3) used for high-pressure synthesis.

The shift of the C–H stretching and bending modes is related to the isotopic effect on the vibrational frequencies caused by substitution of hydrogen by deuterium. The isotopic shift of the stretching mode frequencies can be estimated from the formula

$$\frac{\Omega_H}{\Omega_D} \sim \left(\frac{M_{\Omega D}}{M_{\Omega H}}\right)^{1/2},\tag{1}$$

where Ω_H and Ω_D are the respective frequencies of the $C_{60}H_{36}$ and $C_{60}D_{36}$ molecules and $M_{\Omega H}$ and $M_{\Omega D}$ are the reduced masses involved in the vibrations. The large difference between the masses of the hydrogen atom and the C₆₀ molecule indicates that the C-H stretching mode is mainly related to displacements of the hydrogen atom along the bond direction, whereas the C_{60} molecule remains practically stationary. Thus, the isotopic shift of the C-H stretching mode is expected to be close to the square root of the deuterium-to-hydrogen mass ratio. The same is also expected for the isotopic shift of the C-H bending mode. The frequencies and isotopic shifts of a number of intense modes related to the fullerene cage vibrations and to the C-H stretching vibrations are tabulated in Table 2. The largest isotopic shifts $[\Omega_H/\Omega_D]^2$ were observed for the C–H stretching modes that vary in the region 1.73–1.79. These values are close to the mass ratio of deuterium and hydrogen, $M_D/M_H = 2$. The isotopic shift for the modes related to the fullerene cage vibrations is small with respect to the C–H stretching modes and varies within 1–1.032. The difference in the isotopic shifts between the stretching modes and the fullerene cage modes is related to the fact that the hydrogen atoms essentially do not partici-

MELETOV, KOUROUKLIS

Experiment Theory ref. [12] ref. [13] ref. [12] present work cm^{-1} σ^{\dagger} cm^{-1} cm^{-1} cm^{-1} intensity* isomer no. 1 86.0 85 vw 2 101.1 W 128 3 165.7 136 m Т 4 176.6 175 180 176 7 vs 5 194 7 192.3 D_{3d} S 6 196.9 198 14 T_h vs Т 7 206.6 vs 207 206 21 8 22 T_h 212.4 211 214 vs 9 229 230.6 s 18 D_{3d} 10 239.3 239 s 11 245.3 m 12 253.6 m 13 261.3 264 m 14 291.0 m Т 15 294 11 298.7 s 305.5 16 S 17 311.8 313 m 18 317.8 S 19 325 326.4 3 D_{3d} m 20 339.6 s 341 14 D_{3d} 21 S_6 347.2 346 8 s 22 360.3 10 S_6 s 365 23 366.9 367 10 $D_{3d}(c-k)$ s Т 24 381.8 m 379 1 7 25 396.3 395 395 395 D_{3d} S 404.3 404 2 26 D_{3d} m 27 415.3 415 7 $D_{3d}(c-k)$ m 9 28 423.0 422 S_6 m 29 4 D_{3d} 429.4 427 m 30 443.0 442 3 Т 444 S 31 448 448.4 vs 32 458.6 458 460 17 S_6 vs 33 465.6 465 1 D_{3d} s 34 473.2 Т 473 1 s 35 484.4 484 484 488 44 Т vs 491.3 496 14 36 vs S_6 37 501.9 s 38 509.9 509 31 S_6 S Т 39 522.0 522 1 m 40 531.0 m 41 537.0 m

Table 1. Frequencies and intensities of the observed and calculated Raman peaks in $C_{60}H_{36}$

545

Т

1

42

43

44

541.4

549.9

554.9

m

s

m

Table 1. (Contd.)

present work ref. [12] ref. [13] ref. [12] no. cm^{-1} intensity* cm^{-1} cm^{-1} σ^{7} isomer 45 565.0 s 569 14 T 46 573.1 m 570 11 $D_{3,c}(c-k)$ 47 577.6 m 579 30 S_{6} 48 580.6 m 581 7 S_{h} 50 590.7 m 581 7 S_{h} 51 596.3 m 581 7 S_{h} 52 622.6 w 634 1 $D_{M,d}(c-k)$ 53 632.4 w 663 1 $D_{3,d}(c-k)$ 54 642.5 w 663 1 $D_{3,d}$ 55 663.3 w 661 1 $D_{3,d}$ 58 669.5 w 731 36 $D_{3,d}$ 65 752.3 w<	Experiment					Theory			
no. cm^{-1} intensity* cm^{-1} c	present work			ref. [12]	ref. [13]		ref. [12]		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	no.	cm ⁻¹	intensity*	cm ⁻¹	cm ⁻¹	cm ⁻¹	σ^{\dagger}	isomer	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	45	565.0	S			569	14	Т	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	46	573.1	m			570	11	$D_{3d}(c-k)$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	47	577.6	m			579	30	S_6	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	48	580.6	m			581	7	S ₆	
50 590.7 m 597 52 $D_{3d}(c-k)$ 51 596.3 m 634 1 $D_{3d}(c-k)$ 53 632.4 w 634 1 $D_{3d}(c-k)$ 54 642.5 w 663 3 T 55 653.3 w 663 1 $D_{3d}(c-k)$ 56 657.7 w 663 1 D_{3d} 59 665.0 m 663 1 D_{3d} 60 696.3 w 667 71 4 S_6 61 711.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 753 $ -$ 65 752.3 w 753 $ -$ 66 761.1 w 731 36 D_{3d} 67 774.2 w $ -$ 68 781.3 w $ -$ 71 817.2 w $ -$	49	585.7	s			586	6	D_{3d}	
51 596.3 m 597 52 $D_{3d}(c-k)$ 52 622.6 w 634 1 $D_{3d}(c-k)$ 53 632.4 w 647 2 δ_6 55 653.3 w 663 1 $D_{3d}(c-k)$ 57 662.0 w 663 1 D_{3d} 58 669.5 w 671 4 S_6 59 685.0 m 671 4 S_6 60 696.3 w 671 4 S_6 61 711.6 m 719 4 T 62 720.6 m 753 $ -$ 64 744.5 w 753 $ -$ 65 752.3 w 753 $ -$ 66 761.1 w 753 $ 69$ 791.3 m 796 2 T_h 71 817.2 w $ -$ </td <td>50</td> <td>590.7</td> <td>m</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	50	590.7	m						
52 622.6 w 634 1 $D_{3d}(c-k)$ 53 632.4 w 647 2 S_6 55 653.3 w 653 3 T 56 657.7 w 663 1 D_{3d} 56 657.7 w 663 1 D_{3d} 57 662.0 w 663 1 D_{3d} 58 669.5 w 671 4 S_6 60 696.3 w 699 11 D_{3d} 61 711.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 62 720.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 753 $ -$ 66 761.1 w 753 $ -$ 67 774.2 w $ -$ 68 781.3 w 825 7 S_6 70 795.9 m 796 2 T_h 72	51	596.3	m			597	52	$D_{3d}(c-k)$	
53 632.4 w 634 1 $D_{3d}(c-k)$ 54 642.5 w 647 2 S_6 55 653.3 w 653 3 T 56 657.7 w 663 1 D_{3d} 58 669.5 w 663 1 D_{3d} 60 696.3 w 671 4 S_6 61 711.6 m 719 4 T 62 720.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 733 36 D_{3d} 65 752.3 w 753 $ -$ 68 781.3 w $ -$ 69 791.3 m 796 2 T_h 71 817.2 w 828 1 T 73 849.5 m 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 939 1 T 75 869.	52	622.6	w						
54 642.5 w 647 2 δ_6 55 653.3 w 653 3 T 56 657.7 w 663 1 D_{3d} 58 669.5 w 663 1 D_{3d} 59 685.0 m 699 11 D_{3d} 60 696.3 w 699 11 D_{3d} 61 711.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 62 720.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 733 36 D_{3d} 65 752.3 w 753 74 B_{3d} 66 761.1 w 753 74 B_{3d} 70 795.9 m 796 2 T_h 71 817.2 w 828 1 T 73 849.5 m 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 939 1 T_h 78 <td>53</td> <td>632.4</td> <td>w</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>634</td> <td>1</td> <td>$D_{3d}(c-k)$</td>	53	632.4	w			634	1	$D_{3d}(c-k)$	
55 653.3 w 653 3 T 56 657.7 w 663 1 D_{3d} 57 662.0 w 663 1 D_{3d} 58 669.5 w 671 D_{3d} 56 60 696.3 w 699 11 D_{3d} 61 711.6 m 719 4 T 63 731.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 753 6 T_h D_{3d} 65 752.3 w 753 T_h D_{3d} 66 761.1 w T_h D_{3d} 67 774.2 w T_h D_{3d} 71 817.2 w T_h D_{3d} 71 817.2 w 2 T_h 73 849.5 m 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 852 7 S_6 75 869.2 <	54	642.5	w			647	2	S ₆	
56 657.7 w 663 1 D_{3d} 57 662.0 w 663 1 D_{3d} 58 669.5 w 671 4 S_6 59 685.0 m 671 4 S_6 60 696.3 w 699 11 D_{3d} 61 711.6 m 719 4 T 63 731.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 731 36 D_{3d} 65 752.3 w 753 $$	55	653.3	w			653	3	Т	
57 662.0 w 663 1 D_{3d} 58 669.5 w 671 4 S_6 59 685.0 m 601 603 w 609 11 D_{3d} 60 696.3 w 699 11 D_{3d} D_{3d} 61 711.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 62 720.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 731 36 D_{3d} 65 752.3 w 753 $$	56	657.7	w						
58 669.5 w 671 4 S_6 59 685.0 m 699 11 D_{3d} 60 696.3 w 699 11 D_{3d} 61 711.6 m 719 4 T 62 720.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 731 36 D_{3d} 65 752.3 w 753 - - 66 761.1 w - - - 67 774.2 w - - - 68 781.3 w - - - 70 795.9 m 796 2 T_h 71 817.2 w - - - 72 832.4 w 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 860 2 T 75 869.2 m 921 3 T_h 78 940.2 w	57	662.0	W			663	1	D_{3d}	
59 685.0 m 699 11 D_{3d} 61 711.6 m 719 4 T 62 720.6 m 719 4 T 63 731.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 753 731 36 D_{3d} 65 752.3 w 753 75	58	669.5	W			671	4	S_6	
60 696.3 w 699 11 D_{3d} 61 711.6 m 711.6 m 719 4 7 62 720.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 63 731.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 753 731 36 D_{3d} 65 752.3 w 753 731 36 D_{3d} 66 761.1 w 753	59	685.0	m						
61 711.6 m 711.6 m 711.9 4 T 62 720.6 m 731.0 36 D_{3d} 63 731.6 m 753 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 753 753 710 76 D_{3d} 65 752.3 w 753 753 76 77 D_{3d} 66 761.1 w 796 2 T_h 76 67 774.2 w 796 4 D_{3d} 69 791.3 m 796 4 D_{3d} 71 817.2 w 828 1 T 72 832.4 w 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 921 3 T_h 78 940.2 w 939 1 T 78 940.2 w 959 5 T_h 80	60	696.3	W			699	11	D_{3d}	
62 720.6 m 719 4 T 63 731.6 m 731 36 D_{3d} 64 744.5 w 753 731 36 D_{3d} 65 752.3 w 753	61	711.6	m						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	62	720.6	m			719	4	T	
64 744.5 w 753 66 752.3 w 753 66 761.1 w 67 774.2 w 68 781.3 w 69 791.3 m 796 2 T_h 70 795.9 m 796 4 D_{3d} 71 817.2 w 828 1 T 72 832.4 w 828 1 T 73 849.5 m 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 860 2 T 75 869.2 m 875 2 T 76 880.4 m 921 3 T_h 78 940.2 w 939 1 T 79 951.1 w 972 1 T 83 991.4 w 988 5 T_h 844 1015.1 w	63	731.6	m			731	36	D_{3d}	
65 752.3 w 753 753 66 761.1 w 753 753 753 66 761.1 w 753 753 753 68 781.3 w 796 2 T_h 69 791.3 m 796 2 T_h 70 795.9 m 796 2 T_h 72 832.4 w 828 1 T 73 849.5 m 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 860 2 T 76 880.4 m 875 2 T 76 880.4 m 939 1 T 78 940.2 w 939 1 T 79 951.1 w 972 1 T 83 991.4 w 972 1 T 84 1051.1 w 1039	64	744.5	w						
66 761.1 w m m <th< td=""><td>65</td><td>752.3</td><td>w</td><td></td><td>753</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	65	752.3	w		753				
67 774.2 w 1053 1055 1056	66	761.1	W						
68 781.3 w 796 2 T_h 70 795.9 m 796 4 D_{3d} 71 817.2 w 828 1 T 72 832.4 w 828 1 T 73 849.5 m 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 860 2 T 75 869.2 m 875 2 T 76 880.4 m 875 2 T 78 940.2 w 939 1 T 78 940.2 w 939 1 T 79 951.1 w 948 1 S_6 80 960.8 w 972 1 T 81 972.3 w 972 1 T 83 991.4 w 988 5 T_h 84 1051.1 w 1039 </td <td>67</td> <td>774.2</td> <td>W</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	67	774.2	W						
69 791.3 m 796 2 T_h 70 795.9 m 796 4 D_{3d} 71 817.2 w 828 1 T 72 832.4 w 828 1 T 73 849.5 m 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 860 2 T 75 869.2 m - - - 76 880.4 m 875 2 T 77 922.2 w 921 3 T_h 78 940.2 w 939 1 T 79 951.1 w 948 1 S_6 80 960.8 w 959 5 T_h 81 972.3 w 972 1 T 82 985.6 vw 988 5 T_h 84 1015.1 w 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 85 <t< td=""><td>68</td><td>781.3</td><td>W</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></t<>	68	781.3	W				-		
70 795.9 m 796 4 D_{3d} 71 817.2 w 828 1 T 72 832.4 w 828 1 T 73 849.5 m 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 860 2 T 75 869.2 m	69	791.3	m			796	2	T_h	
71 817.2 w state 828 1 T 72 832.4 w 828 1 T 73 849.5 m 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 860 2 T 75 869.2 m $ 76$ 880.4 m 875 2 T 77 922.2 w 921 3 T_h 78 940.2 w 939 1 T 79 951.1 w 948 1 S_6 80 960.8 w 959 5 T_h 81 972.3 w 972 1 T 83 991.4 w 988 5 T_h 84 1015.1 w 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 85 1032.4 m 1039 1053 4 S_6	70	795.9	m			796	4	D_{3d}	
72 832.4 w 828 1 7 73 849.5 m 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 860 2 7 75 869.2 m $ 76$ 880.4 m 875 2 7 77 922.2 w 921 3 7_h 78 940.2 w 939 1 T 79 951.1 w 948 1 S_6 80 960.8 w 959 5 T_h 81 972.3 w 972 1 T 82 985.6 vw 988 5 T_h 83 991.4 w 988 5 T_h 84 1015.1 w 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 85 1032.4 m 1039 1039 4 S_6	71	817.2	W			220			
73 849.5 m 852 7 S_6 74 861.7 w 860 2 T 75 869.2 m 875 2 T 76 880.4 m 875 2 T 77 922.2 w 921 3 T_h 78 940.2 w 939 1 T 79 951.1 w 948 1 S_6 80 960.8 w 959 5 T_h 81 972.3 w 972 1 T 83 991.4 w 988 5 T_h 84 1015.1 w 1015 1008 1018 2 86 1054.1 w 1039 1039 1053 4 S_6	72	832.4	W			828	l	$\begin{bmatrix} T \\ \tilde{a} \end{bmatrix}$	
74 861.7 W W R 860 2 1 75 869.2 m R	73	849.5	m			852	7	S_6	
75 889.2 m 875 2 T 76 880.4 m 921 3 T_h 77 922.2 w 939 1 T 78 940.2 w 939 1 T 79 951.1 w 948 1 S_6 80 960.8 w 959 5 T_h 81 972.3 w 972 1 T 82 985.6 vw 988 5 T_h 83 991.4 w 988 5 T_h 84 1015.1 w 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 85 1032.4 m 1039 1039 1053 4 S_6 87 1064.0 m m 1039 1053 4 S_6	74	861.7	W			860	2	1	
76 880.4 m 875 2 1 77 922.2 w 921 3 T_h 78 940.2 w 939 1 T 79 951.1 w 948 1 S_6 80 960.8 w 959 5 T_h 81 972.3 w 972 1 T 82 985.6 vw 988 5 T_h 83 991.4 w 988 5 T_h 84 1015.1 w 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 85 1032.4 m 1039 1039 4 S_6 87 1064.0 m m 1053 4 S_6	15	869.2	m			075	2	T	
77 922.2 W 921 3 I_h 78 940.2 W 939 1 T 79 951.1 W 948 1 S_6 80 960.8 W 959 5 T_h 81 972.3 W 972 1 T 82 985.6 VW 988 5 T_h 83 991.4 W 988 5 T_h 84 1015.1 W 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 85 1032.4 m 1039 1039 56 56 86 1054.1 W 1039 1053 4 S_6	70	880.4	m			875	2		
78 940.2 W 939 1 1 1 79 951.1 W 948 1 S_6 80 960.8 W 959 5 T_h 81 972.3 W 972 1 T 82 985.6 VW 988 5 T_h 83 991.4 W 988 5 T_h 84 1015.1 W 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 85 1032.4 m 1039 1053 4 S_6 87 1064.0 m m 1039 1053 4 S_6	70	922.2	W			921	3		
79 951.1 W 948 1 S_6 80 960.8 W 959 5 T_h 81 972.3 W 972 1 T 82 985.6 VW 988 5 T_h 83 991.4 W 988 5 T_h 84 1015.1 W 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 85 1032.4 m 1039 1039 $ 86$ 1054.1 W $ 1053$ 4 S_6 87 1064.0 m $ -$	/8	940.2	W			939	1		
80 960.8 W 939 5 I_h 81 972.3 W 972 1 T 82 985.6 vw 988 5 T_h 83 991.4 W 988 5 T_h 84 1015.1 W 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 85 1032.4 m 1039 1039 4 S_6 86 1054.1 W m 1053 4 S_6 87 1064.0 m m m m m m	79	931.1	W			948	1		
81 972.5 W 972 1 1 82 985.6 vw 988 5 T_h 83 991.4 w 988 5 T_h 84 1015.1 w 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 85 1032.4 m 1039 1039 1053 4 S_6 86 1054.1 w 1053 4 S_6 87 1064.0 m 1053 4 S_6	80	960.8	W			939	3		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	81 82	912.3	W			912	1	1	
0.5 $0.71.4$ w 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 84 1015.1 w 1015 1008 1018 2 S_6 85 1032.4 m 1039 1039 1053 4 S_6 86 1054.1 w u 1053 4 S_6 87 1064.0 m u u u u u	02 83	905.0 001 /	v w 37			088	5	<i>T</i> .	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	84	1015.1	w	1015	1008	1018	2	\int_{h}^{h}	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	85 85	1032 4	m	1013	1039	1010	2	56	
87 1064.0 m	86	1054 1	w	1007	1007	1053	4	Sc	
	87	1064.0	m			1000		~0	

JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL PHYSICS Vol. 100 No. 4 2005

Table 1.	(Contd.)
----------	----------

Experiment					Theory			
present work			ref. [12]	ref. [13]	ref. [12]			
no.	cm ⁻¹	intensity*	cm ⁻¹	cm ⁻¹	cm ⁻¹	σ^\dagger	isomer	
88	1073.2	W			1073	2	T _h	
89	1088.6	w			1087	1	S ₆	
90	1125.2	w			1126	1	T_h	
91	1154.0	m	1154		1154	16	$D_{3d}(c-k)$	
92	1173.9	m			1172	18	Т	
93	1181.3	m			1182	12	T	
94	1189.7	m		1186	1191	2	D_{3d}	
95	1207.7	m			1209	9	D_{3d}	
96	1212.6	S	1212	1213	1213	44	T_h	
97	1218.7	S			1217	23	S_6	
98	1227.2	m			1228	5	T	
99	1231.8	m			1232	1	S_6	
100	1240.3	S			1238	36	T	
101	1250.3	S			1252	22	$D_{3d}(c-k)$	
102	1256.9	m			1258	19	S_6	
103	1263.2	s		1262	1263	42	D_{3d}	
104	1274.0	s	1276		1274	74	D_{3d}	
105	1283.8	m			1284	12	$D_{3d}(c-k)$	
106	1306.1	w			1304	2	S_6	
107	1314.4	m			1313	29	T_h	
108	1319.6	S			1318	22	$D_{3d}(c-k)$	
109	1328.3	m			1326	33	<i>S</i> ₆	
110	1334.9	S			1330	27	<i>S</i> ₆	
111	1348.3	m						
112	1353.2	S						
113	1362.9	S			1363	9	D_{3d}	
114	1369.4	m	1386		1370	11	S_6	
115	1430.6	m		1402	1429	5	D_{3d}	
116	1457.0	S	1462	1462				
117	1496.6	w	1508	1497				
118	1653.8	w						
119	1674.8	m			1671	401	S_6	
120	1714.0	S	1714		1712	211	$D_{3d}(c-k)$	
121	1724.5	w						
122	1739.4	S	1736		1739	333	$D_{3d}(c-k)$	
123	2826.8	S	2830	2829				
124	2852.5	S	2853	2852	2856	55	D_{3d}	
125	2911.9	S	2913	2911	2911	180	D_{3d}	
126	2931.2	m				8		

Note: $D_{3d}(c-k)$ is the lowest energy isomer with D_{3d} symmetry [13]. * Intensity characterization: very weak (vw), weak (w), medium (m), strong (s), and very strong (vs). [†] Raman cross section (Å⁴/amu) [12].



Fig. 2. Raman spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$ recorded at room temperature during increasing (a) and decreasing (b) pressure cycle in the frequency range 100–1800 cm⁻¹. The frequency range containing the strong diamond Raman line is excluded.

pate in the cage vibrations. These results are very close to those obtained by means of surface-enhanced Raman scattering of hydrogen and deuterium chemisorbed on a diamond (100) surface [21]. The frequencies of the C–H and C–D stretching modes in [21] are 2830, 2865, 2928 cm⁻¹ and 2102, 2165, 2195 cm⁻¹, respectively, and the isotopic shift varies within 1.32–1.35. Thus, the parameters of the C–H stretching modes of hydrofullerene could be similar to those of hydrogen, bonded with carbon atoms on the diamond surface. This similarity is related to the large difference between the masses of the hydrogen atom and the fullerene molecule or the carbon network in diamond. In addition, the C–H bonding in both cases takes place with *sp*³ coordinated carbon atoms.

3.2. Pressure Behavior of Raman Spectra and Stability of $C_{60}H_{36}$ at High Pressure

The Raman spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$ recorded in the regions 100–800 cm⁻¹ and 1400–1750 cm⁻¹ at room

temperature and high pressure are shown in Fig. 2 for increasing (a) and decreasing (b) pressure runs, respectively. The Raman modes appearing in this frequency

 Table 2. Molecular cage vibrations and C–H stretching modes with their isotopic shift ratio

C ₆₀	H ₃₆	C ₆₀	D ₃₆			
Ω , cm ⁻¹	Inten- sity*	Ω, cm ⁻¹	Inten- sity*	Ω_{H} / Ω_{D}	$(\Omega_H / \Omega_D)^2$	
206.6	vs	203.4	vs	1.016	1.032	
212.4	vs	209.7	vs	1.013	1.026	
464.2	vs	464.2	vs	1	1	
484.4	vs	484.4	vs	1	1	
2826.8	S	2113.6	S	1.337	1.789	
2852.5	S	2169.7	S	1.315	1.728	
2911.9	S	2209.3	S	1.318	1.737	

* Intensity characterization: strong (s) and very strong (vs).



Fig. 3. Raman spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$ recorded in the frequency range of the C–H stretching mode at ambient temperature and various pressures for increasing pressure. The spectrum in the upper frame, at 0.2 GPa, is recorded upon pressure release.

region are related mainly to the vibrations of the fullerene molecular cage. The spectral region where the strong diamond vibration is located is excluded. As can be seen from Fig. 2, a rich Raman spectrum, with welldefined structures in the low-frequency region, can easily be followed with pressure. On the contrary, the structures in the spectral region 1400–1750 cm⁻¹ are broad and weak, but still they can be traced up to the highest pressure studied. At first glance, the application of pressure seems to have the expected effect in the Raman spectrum, i.e., an overall positive shift in the frequencies of the Raman modes and a relative increase in their line widths. But the situation differs significantly for the part of the Raman spectrum containing the hydrogen stretching vibrations. As can be seen in Fig. 3, where the C-H stretching vibrations are presented, the initially well-separated structures in the corresponding spectra are gradually washed out, becoming a very broad structure for pressures higher than approximately 2.0 GPa. We note that despite a considerable broadening of the Raman structures, the pressure effect is fully reversible upon releasing pressure, as can be seen from Fig. 2 and the top frame in Fig. 3.

The pressure dependence of the Raman frequencies is shown in Figs. 4 and 5 for pressure up to 12 GPa and at room temperature. The different open (closed) symbols correspond to pressure increase (decrease) in different pressure runs, while the solid lines through the experimental points represent linear least-square fittings. The shaded areas near the pressure approximately 0.6 and 6.0 GPa denote the pressure regions where the change in the slope of the pressure dependence or the disappearance of some Raman peaks occur. The parameters of linear least-square fittings and a tentative mode assignment of the observed Raman modes are compiled in Table 3. In the mode assignment column, we indicate the isomeric form to which this mode most likely belongs. The data related to pristine C_{60} are also included in Table 3 for comparison. The pressure dependence presented in Figs. 4 and 5 and numerical data in Table 1 show that all the observed modes have positive pressure coefficients and at least four Raman peaks disappear for pressures higher than approximately 6 GPa. Furthermore, the majority of Raman modes exhibit a change in the slope of their pressure dependence at approximately 0.6 and 6 GPa. These peculiarities were observed for both increasing and decreasing pressure runs, and therefore the pressure dependence of the Raman mode frequencies exhibits fully reversible behavior.

The pressure dependence of the stretching C-H vibrations, where a dramatic broadening occurs with increasing pressure, is the most striking pressure effect on the Raman spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$. In our opinion, the abnormal broadening of the initially sharp peaks in the Raman spectra of $G_{60}H_{36}$ may be related to the presence of various isomers in the samples under study. Numerical calculations in [12] show that there are many identical Raman modes related to various isomers of $C_{60}H_{36}$ with very close frequencies. We believe that any difference in their pressure coefficients, even small, may result in additional pressure-induced broadening of these peaks, which gradually obscures the initially sharp Raman structure. A similar broadening of the Raman spectra at high pressure was also observed in the isomeric mixture of \hat{C}_{84} fullerene samples [22]. It is important to note that this kind of broadening is expected to be reversible with pressure, and this behavior is indeed observed in our experiments. Bearing in mind that the number of the main C-H Raman modes of $C_{60}H_{36}$ does not change with pressure, we have fitted the experimental data in this region by keeping the same number of peaks at any pressure. The pressure behavior obtained in this way is displayed in Fig. 6, in which open (closed) circles denote increasing (decreasing) pressure runs. Despite the crudeness of the procedure, the pressure dependences of the C–H Raman peak positions show a behavior that is compatible with that



Fig. 4. Pressure dependence of Raman-active modes of $C_{60}H_{36}$ in the frequency ranges 180–390 cm⁻¹ (a) and 440–560 cm⁻¹ (b). Different open (closed) symbols correspond to increasing (decreasing) pressure in different pressure runs. Solid lines represent linear least-square fits. The shaded areas at $P \sim 0.6$ and approximately 6.0 GPa denote the regions of possible phase transitions.

of the Raman modes of the fullerene molecular cage up to approximately 6 GPa. In particular, these peaks exhibit an overall positive shift up to approximately 6 GPa as well as changes in the slopes of the pressure behavior of the Raman mode frequencies at approximately 0.6 GPa. However, their pressure dependence is different for pressures above 6 GPa, where a softening in the C–H stretching vibrations is observed. It is important to note that even if we follow the pressure dependence of the "center-of-gravity" frequency of the overall C–H Raman band region, we also find a change in the slope of the pressure dependence at approximately 6 GPa. This dependence is shown in Fig. 6 by solid stars, which represent both the pressure increase and decrease runs.

The observed peculiarities in the pressure dependence of the Raman modes of $C_{60}H_{36}$ may be understood by invoking the corresponding behavior of pristine C_{60} and C_{70} at high pressure. It is known that under hydrostatic pressure at room temperature, C_{60} transforms, at 0.4 GPa or at 259 K, from the FCC-structure, where the C_{60} molecules are orientationally disordered due to chaotic rotations, to the SC-structure, where the molecular rotations are partially ordered [23, 24]. Similarly, under pressure, C_{70} undergoes an orientational ordering phase transition from an FCC structure to a rhombohedral phase at approximately 0.35 GPa or at 280 K [25, 26]. Furthermore, Hall et al. [9] found that the distortion of the molecules brought about by hydrogenation along with the hydrogen atoms bonded around the equator gives the molecule a strongly oblate shape. The BCC-structure allows effective packing of oblate spheroids if the polar axes of the molecules are aligned. The longer second-nearest-neighbor distance then prevents close approaches of the equatorial hydrogen atoms. If this alignment actually occurs, then there should be a tendency to form a tetragonal structure with a ratio c/a < 1. Therefore, they predict that at sufficiently low temperatures, the BCC-structure must transform into a body-centered tetragonal structure. Thus, keeping in mind the pressure-induced orientational ordering of C_{60} and C_{70} and the finding by Hall et al. [9], we might speculate that the observed peculiarity at approximately 0.6 GPa in the pressure dependence of Raman modes can be assigned to an orientation ordering structural phase transition.

The changes in the pressure dependence of the Raman frequencies at approximately 6 GPa, especially the behavior of the C–H stretching modes, could be attributed to a possible phase transition in which the hydrogen bonds may be involved. It is known that the intermolecular and intramolecular distances in fullerene



Fig. 5. Pressure dependence of Raman-active modes of $C_{60}H_{36}$ in the frequency ranges 570–770 cm⁻¹ (a) and 1450-1770 cm⁻¹ (b). Different open (closed) symbols correspond to increasing (decreasing) pressure in different pressure runs. Solid lines represent linear least-square fits. The shaded areas at $P \sim 0.6$ and approximately 6.0 GPa denote the regions of possible phase transitions.

become comparable at sufficiently high pressure. Therefore, the hydrogen atoms in the $C_{60}H_{36}$ molecule, which initially form terminal C-H bonds, can interact with carbon atoms belonging to neighboring molecular cages. This interaction may result in the formation of the bridging C-H-C hydrogen bonds when the decrease in the intermolecular distances becomes appropriate. As a result, the bulk modulus of the crystal increases, which is manifested by a decrease in the slope of the pressure dependence of the Raman frequencies. In addition, the formation of the bridging hydrogen bonds results in a certain elongation of the involved terminal C-H bonds. The increase in the C–H terminal bond lengths results in mode softening, which increases with a further increase of pressure. The presence of the bridging C–D–C modes in the $C_{60}D_r$ samples was found recently even at ambient pressure by means of NMR investigation of deuterofullerene [27]. Similar pressure behavior of hydrogen bonds was also found in other molecular materials that exhibit a softening in their C-H stretching mode frequency under pressure [28].

3.3. Pressure Behavior of the Photoluminescence Spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$

The photoluminescence spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$ at normal pressure and various temperatures are depicted in

Fig. 7. The spectrum at room temperature contains two broad peaks and two shoulders, located near the lower and higher energy sides of the spectrum. The structure of the PL spectrum is reminiscent of that of pristine C_{60} at room temperature, but the intensity of luminescence is considerably higher. The onset of the spectrum is located near 2.5 eV, which is higher than the onset of the PL spectrum in pristine C_{60} by approximately 1 eV [29]. As the temperature drops to 80 K and below to the liquid helium temperature, the PL spectrum becomes more resolved, as shown in Figs. 7b and 7c. The PL spectrum at the lowest temperature (10 K) contains a number of sharp and well-resolved peaks, located near its onset. The relatively high PL intensity in $C_{60}H_{36}$, in comparison to pristine C₆₀, is mainly associated with small luminescence quantum yield in pristine C₆₀ due to the dipole-forbidden transitions from the lowest exited state [30]. The fine structure of the PL spectrum in C₆₀H₃₆ at low temperature resembles the well-developed structure in the PL spectrum of the C₆₀ single crystals at the liquid helium temperature. This structure is related to the shallow defect levels [31], while the fine structure of the PL spectrum in the $C_{60}H_{36}$ may be related to the abundant isomer composition of the samples under study.

Table 3.	The phonon	frequency,	assignment	and their p	pressure	coefficients	for the	$C_{60}H_{36}$	Raman-ac	tive modes.	The corre-
sponding	y values for C	L_{60} are also $\frac{1}{2}$	included for	compariso	n						

		C ₆₀ I	C^a_{60}			
Mode		0–0.6 GPa	0.6–6 GPa	6–12 GPa	0.4–2.	4 GPa
	ω, cm^{-1}	∂ω _i /∂P, cm ⁻¹ /GPa	∂ω _i /∂P, cm ⁻¹ /GPa	∂ω _i /∂P, cm ⁻¹ /GPa	ω, cm ⁻¹	∂ω _i /∂P, cm ⁻¹ /GPa
$T_g(T)$	180.6	5.6 ± 0.1	6.4 ± 0.1			
$E_g(T_h)$	196.0	4.7 ± 0.6	3.1 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.1		
$E_g(T)$	206.6	2.7 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.1		
$T_g(T_h)$	212.6	2.3 ± 0.3	2.6 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.2		
$E_g(D_{3d})$	230.6	3.5 ± 0.1	2.9 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.2		
	239.1	3.2 ± 0.2	2.6 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.2		
	261.1	2.1 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.2	$272H_g(1)$	3.2
	305.2	2.2 ± 0.2	1.8 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2	294ω ₁	2.5
	317.8	3.1 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.2		
$E_g(D_{3d})$	339.6	2.1 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1		
$A(S_6)$	347.1	2.7 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	345ω ₂	2.9
$E_g(T_h)$	366.7	3.2 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.3		
$A_g(T)$	484.2	4.6 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.2	3.3 ± 0.2		
$A_g(S_6)$	491.0	3.3 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.1		$495H_g(2)$	4.2
	501.8	2.0 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.1	3.3 ± 0.1		
$E_g(S_6)$	530.6	2.7 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.2	2.4 ± 0.2	522ω ₅	1.0
$E_g(D_{3d})$	585.7	2.6 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.2		
$E_g(S_6)$	598.6	2.3 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.2		
$T_g(T_h)$	622.6	2.9 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 0.2	624ω ₁	1.5
$E_g(D_{3d})$	632.5	2.4 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.3		
$E_g(D_{3d})$	642.5	1.9 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.2		
$T_g(T)$	655.8	1.2 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2		
$E_g(T_h)$	669.3	1.9 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.1			
$A_{1g}(D_{3d})$	695.6	1.8 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.4		
$A_{1g}(D_{3d})$	730.9	1.4 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 0.2	729ω ₈	-2.9
$T_g(T)$	1459.5	9.0 ± 0.2	6.6 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 0.4	$1467A_g(2)$	5.5
$E_{g}(S_{6})?$	1494.5	9.6 ± 0.3	4.8 ± 0.3	5.1 ± 0.4		
$A_g(S_6)$	1674.6	4.6 ± 0.3	7.2 ± 0.3	6.9 ± 0.4		
$A_g(T_h)$	1712.5	5.2 ± 0.3	3.6 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.5		

Note: Data taken from [35].

JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL PHYSICS Vol. 100 No. 4 2005



Fig. 6. Pressure dependence of the Raman-active modes of $C_{60}H_{36}$ in the range of the C–H stretching modes. Open (closed) circles correspond to the increasing (decreasing) pressure runs, while the peak positions were obtained by fitting Gaussians lineshapes to four experimental peaks at any pressure. The stars correspond to the frequency of the "center of gravity" of the C–H Raman band as a function of pressure.



Fig. 7. Photoluminescence spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$ at ambient pressure and various temperatures.

According to numerical local-density functional calculations of the electronic structure, the gap between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is different for the five most stable isomers and varies between 3.84 and 3.91 eV [32]. These calculations are related to the isolated C60H36 molecule and their results should be compared with the experimental absorption spectra of the $\tilde{C}_{60}H_{36}$ solutions. The absorption spectra of the $C_{60}H_{36}$ solutions in methylene dichloride and benzene [13] show that the onset of the absorption spectrum is located between 2.96 and 3.24 eV. Concerning the HOMO-LUMO gap calculations for the solid $C_{60}H_{36}$, there are no available data to compare with the present experimental results. As is known, the calculations of the HOMO-LUMO gap for various molecular solids show that the gap is shifted to lower energies with respect to the isolated molecules due to the vapor-crystal shift of the electron energy spectrum. In any case, the onset of the photoluminescence spectrum in solid $C_{60}H_{36}$ observed in the present work is considerably smaller than the HOMO-LUMO gap for an isolated molecule [32].

The PL spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$ taken at room temperature and various pressures are shown in Fig. 8. The initial spectrum taken at 0.5 GPa gradually broadens upon increase in pressure up to 12 GPa, while the shift of the spectrum is not apparent. The pressure-induced shift of the spectrum is unusually small as it follows from the pressure dependence of the band frequencies obtained by fitting Gaussian band shapes to the main peaks in the PL spectrum. The pressure dependence of the two main bands of the PL spectrum of $C_{60}H_{36}$ is shown in Fig. 9. The pressure coefficients for these bands are close to zero at pressure up to approximately 6.5 GPa, but they increase in the absolute value at higher pressures, to -7.5 and -9 meV/GPa, respectively. The pressure behavior of the electronic states in $C_{60}H_{36}$ is not typical of molecular crystals, whose electronic levels usually exhibit large negative pressure shifts, rapidly decreasing with pressure [33]. It is known that the pressureinduced shift of the electronic levels in molecular crystals is negative for the majority of the materials that have a center of symmetry, whereas it may be positive for materials in which the molecules do not have a center of symmetry [34]. The samples under study contain the T isomer in abundance, which does not have a center of symmetry. This means that at least a part of the PL spectrum related to this isomer may have a positive pressure-induced shift, while at the same time, we have a negative pressure-induced shift originating from isomers having a center of symmetry. The unusual pressure behavior up to 6.5 GPa may be associated with mutual compensation of the opposite shifts from the two parts of the luminescence spectrum, originating from electronic states of various isomers. At higher pressure, however, the luminescence related to isomers that have a center of symmetry dominates: their elec-



Fig. 8. Photoluminescence spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$ at ambient temperature and various pressures.

tronic states are downshifted in energy to the lowest positions, and we therefore have an overall negative pressure shift.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The Raman spectrum of hydrofullerene $C_{60}H_{36}$ prepared by high-pressure hydrogenation has a very rich structure and contains about five times more peaks than that of transfer hydrogenated $C_{60}H_{36}$. The comparison of experimental Raman peaks with the results of calculation of the molecular dynamics shows the presence of five principal isomers in the samples under study. The majority of the experimentally observed Raman peaks belong to the S_6 , T, and D_{3d} isomers. The micro-Raman probing of several samples, prepared under different reaction parameters, shows that the homogeneity of the samples depends strongly on the reaction temperature. The Raman spectrum of deutero-fullerene $C_{60}D_{36}$ prepared by the same method is in general similar to that of $C_{60}H_{36}$. The important difference between the two spectra is a large isotopic shift of the C-D stretching modes with respect to the corresponding C-H ones.



Fig. 9. Pressure dependence of the two main bands in the PL spectrum of $C_{60}H_{36}$.

The isotopic shift in the modes associated with the fullerene molecular cage is very small. This is a strong indication that the hydrogen atoms do not play an important role in the $C_{60}H_{36}$ molecular cage vibrations.

The pressure behavior of the optical Raman and PL spectra of $C_{60}H_{36}$ is not typical of fullerene-based materials because they become rather diffuse, even at relatively small pressure. The pressure dependence of the phonon frequencies is reversible with pressure and exhibits peculiarities at approximately 0.6 and 6 GPa. The first peculiarity is probably related to a phase transition from the initial orientationally disordered BCC-structure to an orientationally ordered structure. The peculiarity at approximately 6 GPa may be related to a pressure-driven enhancement of the C–H interaction between the hydrogen and carbon atoms belonging to neighboring molecular cages.

The PL spectrum of $C_{60}H_{36}$ is shifted to higher energy by about 1 eV with respect to that of pristine C_{60} . The spectrum at room temperature consists of two broad peaks and becomes more structured at 10 K. The pressure-induced shift of the PL spectrum of $C_{60}H_{36}$ is close to zero up to 6.5 GPa, while at higher pressure, a negative pressure shift was observed. The unusual pressure behavior of the PL spectrum is related to the isomer composition of the high-pressure hydrogenated fullerene samples.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank S. Assimopoulos for assistance and I. O. Bashkin for providing the $C_{60}H_{36}$ samples. K.P.M. acknowledges the hospitality of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki. Support by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project no. 03-02-16011, and by the Russian State Research Program "Physical Properties of Carbon-based Nanostructures and Development of New Electronic Devices," grant no. 541-02, is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. C. Dillon, K. M. Jones, T. A. Bekkedahl, *et al.*, Nature **386**, 377 (1997).
- A. Rathna and J. Chandrasekhar, Chem. Phys. Lett. 206, 217 (1993).
- 3. L. D. Book and G. E. Scuseria, J. Phys. Chem. **98**, 4283 (1994).
- M. I. Attalla, A. M. Vassallo, B. N. Tattam, and J. Hamma, J. Phys. Chem. 97, 6329 (1993).
- I. O. Baskin, A. I. Kolesnikov, V. E. Antonov, *et al.*, Mol. Mater. **10**, 265 (1998).
- R. A. Assink, J. E. Schirber, D. A. Loy, *et al.*, J. Mater. Res. 7, 2136 (1992).
- R. E. Haufler, J. Conceicao, P. Chibante, *et al.*, J. Phys. Chem. **94**, 8634 (1990).
- C. Jin, R. Hettich, R. Compton, *et al.*, J. Phys. Chem. 98, 4215 (1994).
- 9. L. E. Hall, D. R. McKenzie, M. I. Attalla, *et al.*, J. Phys. Chem. **97**, 5741 (1993).
- R. L. Davis, D. R. McKenzie, L. E. Hall, *et al.*, ISIS Annual Report, Vol. 2: Rutherford Appleton Laboratory Report RAL-94-050 (1994), p. A 200.
- M. Bühl, W. Thiel, and U. Schneider, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 4623 (1995).
- 12. R. Bini, J. Ebenhoch, M. Fanti, *et al.*, Chem. Phys. **232**, 75 (1998).
- R. V. Bensasson, T. J. Hill, E. J. Land, *et al.*, Chem. Phys. 215, 111 (1997).
- A. D. Darwish, A. K. Abdul-Sada, G. J. Langley, *et al.*, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 2359 (1995).

- 15. Y. Iwasa, T. Arima, R. M. Fleming, *et al.*, Science **264**, 1570 (1994).
- S. K. Konovalov and B. M. Bulychev, Zh. Neorg. Khim. 37, 2640 (1992).
- 17. A. Jayaraman, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 57, 1013 (1986).
- 18. D. Barnett, S. Block, and G. J. Piermarini, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 44, 1 (1973).
- D. S. Bethune, G. Meijer, W. C. Tang, *et al.*, Chem. Phys. Lett. **179**, 181 (1991).
- 20. B. W. Clare and D. L. Kepert, J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM **315**, 71 (1994).
- K. Ushizawa, M. N.-Gamo, Y. Kikuchi, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 60, R5165 (1999).
- 22. I. Margiolaki, S. Margadona, K. Prassides, *et al.*, Physica B (Amsterdam) **318**, 372 (2002).
- G. A. Samara, J. E. Schirber, B. Morosin, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3136 (1991).
- 24. K. P. Meletov, G. Kourouklis, D. Christofilos, and S. Ves, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 10090 (1995).
- 25. C. Christides, I. M. Thomas, T. J. Dennis, and K. Prassides, Europhys. Lett. **22**, 611 (1993).
- 26. A. Lundin, A. Soldadov, and B. Sunquist, Europhys. Lett. **30**, 469 (1995).
- V. P. Tarasov, Y. B. Muravlev, V. N. Fokin, and Y. M. Shulga, Appl. Phys. A 78, 1001 (2004).
- 28. E. Katoh, H. Yamawaki, H. Fujihisa, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 11244 (1999).
- 29. K. P. Meletov, D. Christofilos, S. Ves, and G. A. Kourouklis, Phys. Status Solidi B **198**, 553 (1996).
- 30. P. A. Lane, L. S. Swanson, Q.-X. Ni, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 887 (1992).
- W. Guss, J. Feldman, E. O. Göbel, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2644 (1994).
- 32. B. I. Dunlap, D. W. Brenner, and G. W. Schriver, J. Phys. Chem. **98**, 1756 (1994).
- K. P. Meletov, V. K. Dolganov, O. V. Zharikov, *et al.*, J. Phys. I 2, 2097 (1992).
- A. S. Davydov, *Theory of Molecular Excitons* (Nauka, Moscow, 1968; Plenum, New York, 1971).
- K. P. Meletov, G. A. Kourouklis, D. Christophilos, and S. Ves, JETP 81, 798 (1995).