Phase Composition, Nanohardness and Young’s Modulus in Ti-Fe Alloys after Heat Treatment and High Pressure Torsion
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Abstract: Four titanium-iron binary alloys were studied. They were preliminarily annealed in the (α + β) and (α + TiFe) regions of the Ti-Fe phase diagram. The changes in the phase composition, nanohardness, and Young’s modulus of the annealed alloys before and after high pressure torsion (HPT) were investigated. Alloys with high iron content after HPT contain a large fraction of the ω phase. The nanohardness of the material in the middle of the radius of the HPT samples varies in the same range of values between 4.4 and 5.8 GPa, regardless of the preliminary annealing. Young’s modulus is a parameter sensitive to structural and phase changes in the material. After HPT, it increases by a factor of 1.5 after preliminary annealing in the (α + β) region in comparison with that in (α + TiFe) region.

Keywords: titanium alloys; high-pressure torsion; Young’s modulus; pre-annealing; nanoindentation

1. Introduction

Titanium alloys are quite attractive for various applications because of their high specific strength, good corrosion resistance and high biocompatibility [1]. Therefore, the interest in titanium and its alloys increases over the years [2–6]. The alloying, as well as various thermal and mechanical treatments of titanium alloys permit the targeted control of their structure and properties. Unlike many of the broadly used alloys (like Cu-, Al-, Mo-, W- or Ta-based ones etc.), titanium has different allotropic modifications at different temperatures and pressures. From this point of view, it is similar to iron. Therefore, it gives the opportunity to develop various thermal and mechanical treatments technologies for the titanium-based alloys. In particular, titanium has also a high pressure ω-phase (together with low-temperature α and high-temperature β phases). The ω-phase can be observed after pressure release. It is present as metastable one at ambient temperature and disappears only after a heating up to several hundred degrees centigrade [2,3,7–12]. In some Ti-alloys the metastable ω-phase appears even after a certain heat treatment, without application of high pressure [13–16].

The important input to the development of new ways to improving the properties of Ti-based alloys can be done using severe plastic deformation (SPD) [2–4,17–21]. In combination with conventional heat treatment, SPD expands the capabilities of titanium alloys and their application area [17]. In particular, SPD can initiate the various phase transitions [22] and always leads to strong grain refinement [23]. Among the SPD-driven phase transformations are decomposition [24–28] of the formation of the supersaturated...
solid solution [29–31], nanocrystallization [32–34], dissolution of particles of a second solid phase [35–40], amorphization [41–46], etc. The SPD (together with proper heat treatment) allows controlling phase transformations and, therefore, tailoring the properties of a material [17,47–50]. It is known that the phase composition, microstructure and mechanical properties of alloys after severe plastic deformation are affected by the applied pressure [18], the temperature during deformation [19], impurities [3,20], strain value [3,21,26], strain rate [51], as well as the orientation of the grains in the starting material [21].

In many cases, various SPD-driven phase transformations proceed simultaneously and, therefore, can compete with each other [27,50]. Several SPD-driven phase transitions in the literature include mass-transfer and are, therefore, diffusive [23–51]. However, the SPD can induce also displacive (or martensitic) phase transitions [50–56]. Using the microstructure peculiarities of Ti-alloys induced by SPD, one can reach the excellent mechanical properties [48,55,57] like the extraordinarily combination of high ductility and strength [58,59]. Thus, SPD allows for the improving of the structure and properties of Ti-based alloys. Therefore, the purpose of this work is to study the binary titanium-iron alloys with different compositions annealed just below and above the temperature of eutectoid transformation and subsequently subjected to the high pressure torsion (HPT), and to analyze the resulting changes of nanohardness and Young’s modulus of these alloys.

2. Materials and Methods

Four binary titanium-iron alloys with 1.98 ± 0.12 wt.% Fe, 2.04 ± 0.07 wt.% Fe, 2.36 ± 0.03 wt.% Fe and 3.93 ± 0.21 wt.% Fe were studied. The alloys were manufactured of pure titanium (99.98%, TI-1 grade) and iron (99.97%) by the levitation method in an atmosphere of pure argon. In this method the molten metal levitates in a so-called cold crucible, consisting of a circular set of vertically standing, water-cooled copper tubes. Around the cold crucible is a water-cooled copper induction coil, which excites a powerful magnetic field with a supersonic frequency in the cold crucible. Foucault eddy currents are induced in the molten metal, which cause intense heating of the metal, up to its melting point. At the same time, eddy currents create a counter magnetic field around the melt, which interacts with the primary field and generates Lorentz forces, which allow a liquid metal bath to float in a vacuum or inert atmosphere without touching the walls of a cold crucible.

The discs with a thickness of 0.7 mm and diameter of 10 mm were cut from the obtained cylindrical ingots. Then each sample was sealed in a quartz ampoule and annealed in vacuum at a residual pressure of 4 × 10⁻⁴ Pa. After annealing, the samples were quenched in water together with an ampoule. Annealings were carried out in two regions of the titanium-iron phase diagram (above and below the eutectoid transformation), namely in α + β area at 615 °C (144 and 270 h) and in α + TiFe area at 470 °C (463 and 750 h). HPT was performed using a custom built computer-controlled device manufactured by W. Klement GmbH, Lang, Austria. The obtained samples were subjected to HPT at room temperature at 7 GPa, deformation rate of 1 rpm and 5 revolutions of the plunger. After the HPT, the thickness of the samples was 0.35 mm.

Measurements of the nanohardness were carried out on the TI-950 Hysitron Triboindenter device equipped with a Berkovich indenter (Brucker, Madison, WI, USA). The measurements were carried out in the center (R0), in the middle of the radius (R1/2) and near the edge (R1) of the samples, the loading rate was constant and equal to dP/dt = 40 mN/s. The maximal load was P max = 200 mN. Before the measurements, the surface of the samples was polished on a diamond paste with a grain size of 1 microns. The numerical values of the nanohardness (H) and Young’s modulus (E) of the studied samples were determined using the Oliver-Farr method based on characteristic P-h diagrams [60–62]. Nanohardness measurements were carried out at room temperature and a constant value of the maximum load applied to the P max indenter (P max = 200 mN), the studied values of H and E were obtained by averaging the results obtained from 12 independent experiments.
For the structural-phase analysis of the samples, the X-ray (XRD) patterns were measured using a Siemens D-500 X-ray diffractometer (Berlin, Germany) in Cu-Kα radiation. The phase analysis and calculation of the lattice parameters were carried out using the PowderCell for Windows Version 2.4.08.03.2000 program (Werner Kraus & Gert Nolze, BAM, Berlin, Germany). To determine the chemical composition of the samples, a high-resolution scanning electron microscope (SEM) Supra 50VP (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with the INCA Energy + microanalysis system was used, equipped with an Oxford Instruments (Abingdon, UK) energy dispersion microanalysis prefix. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high resolution TEM (HRTEM) observations have been made by using an aberration corrected TITAN 80–300 transmission electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA). The cross section TEM and HRTEM specimens were cut from HPT discs at a 3 mm distance from the disc center. They were further thinned in a FEI Strata 400S dual beam facility (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA).

3. Results

Figure 1 shows XRD patterns for the Ti–2.36 wt.% Fe (Figure 1a), Ti–3.93 wt.% Fe (Figure 1b), Ti–1.98 wt.% Fe (Figure 1c) and Ti–2.04 wt.% Fe (Figure 1d) alloys annealed at 470 °C (Figure 1a,b) and 615 °C (Figure 1c,d). The bottom curves (red) are for the annealed samples before HPT, the top curves (black) are for the annealed samples after HPT. The peaks in XRD patterns before HPT are narrow. It is due to the high crystallinity of the samples and large grain size in the samples after annealing. The peaks in XRD patterns after HPT are broad due to the grain refinement driven by HPT. The samples annealed at 470 °C (Figure 1a,b, red curves), i.e., below the temperature of eutectoid decomposition of β-phase contain the mixture of α-phase and intermetallic compound TiFe. After HPT (Figure 1a,b, black curves) the ω-phase appears in addition to the α-phase and TiFe. The samples annealed at 615 °C (Figure 1c,d, red curves), i.e., above the temperature of eutectoid decomposition of β-phase contain the mixture of α-phase and β-phases. After HPT (Figure 1c,d, black curves) the ω-phase appears, and the α-phase almost disappears.

In Figure 1 some peaks are marked as α/β/ω. After exposure to HPT, the peaks in the XRD patterns become wide and “blurred”. As a result, one, two or even three closely lying XRD peaks corresponding to different phases can fall under such a “blurred” peak. The phase analysis and calculation of the lattice parameters were carried out using the PowderCell for Windows Version 2.4.08.03.2000 program (Werner Kraus & Gert Nolze, BAM Berlin, Germany). The program distinguishes all phases and closely lying lines of different phases. The scale of the drawings does not allow us to make more inscriptions and preserve the readability of the text, therefore we used such a system for describing the peaks.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iron Content, wt.% Fe</th>
<th>Phase Diagram Area</th>
<th>αTi</th>
<th>βTi</th>
<th>TiFe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vₐ, %</td>
<td>a, c, nm</td>
<td>Vₐ, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.98 ± 0.12 α + β</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.2950, 0.4686</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.04 ± 0.07 α + β</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.2948, 0.4682</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.3226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.36 ± 0.03 α + TiFe</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.2950, 0.4687</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.93 ± 0.21 α + TiFe</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.2950, 0.4688</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti [63]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2955, 0.4694</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Lattice parameters, phases and their volume fraction in alloys after heat treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iron Content, wt.% Fe</th>
<th>Phase Diagram Area</th>
<th>αTi</th>
<th>βTi</th>
<th>ωTi</th>
<th>TiFe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vₐ, %</td>
<td>a, c, nm</td>
<td>Vₐ, %</td>
<td>a, nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.98 ± 0.12 α + β</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2952, 0.4693</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3256</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.04 ± 0.07 α + β</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.2950, 0.4684</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3252</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.36 ± 0.03 α + TiFe</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2950, 0.4690</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.3255</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.93 ± 0.21 α + TiFe</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.2950, 0.4688</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.4627, 0.2812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti [63]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2959, 0.4690</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Lattice parameters, phases and their volume fraction in alloys after heat treatment and HPT.

Figure 3 shows the TEM micrographs of the Ti–3.93 wt.% Fe alloy annealed at $T = 615 \, ^\circC$, 270 h, quenched and subjected to HPT at 7 GPa, 1 rpm, 5 rot. The bright field image is shown in the left-hand side of the figure, the dark field image is on the right. The grain size is about 50 nm and corresponds with the XRD data. The selected...
area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern is shown as inset. It contains the rings of α- and ω-phases.
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**Figure 2.** Mean grain size for the α-, β- and ω-phases in the annealed samples before and after HPT.

![Micrograph](image-url)

**Figure 3.** TEM micrographs of the Ti–3.93 wt.% Fe alloy annealed at $T = 615^\circ C$, 270 h, quenched and subjected to HPT at 7 GPa, 1 rpm, 5 rot. On the left is a bright field image; on the right is a dark field image of the same area. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern is shown as inset.

In Figure 4 the dependences are shown between load $P$ applied to the Berkovich indenter and the indentation depth $h$ for the alloys (1) Ti–1.98 wt.% Fe annealed at 470 °C, (2) Ti–2.04 wt.% Fe annealed at 470 °C, (3) Ti–2.36 wt.% Fe annealed at 615 °C, (4) Ti–3.93 wt.% Fe annealed at 615 °C. After preliminary annealing, the samples were subjected to HPT (7 GPa, 1 rpm, 5 rot). The $P(h)$ dependences were measured: (a) in the sample center $R_0$; (b) in the middle of the radius $R_{1/2}$, and (c) at the sample edge $R_1$. The slope of these curves is proportional to the Young's modulus $E$. It is important that the slope of $P(h)$ curves is very different for different studied samples.

Consider now the dependences of the nanohardness $H$ (Figure 5a,c) and Young's modulus $E$ (Figure 5b,d) on the location of the measurements, namely the center, the middle of the radius and the edge of the samples. In the first case (Figure 5a,b), when the annealing temperature and duration are the same for two alloys, and the iron concentration differs by 1.57 wt.% (~60%), as a result, $H$ is higher by 1.3 GPa (~23%), and $E$ is higher by...
46 GPa (~43%) for the Ti–3.93 wt.% Fe alloy in comparison with that with 1.57 wt.% Fe. These values are measured in the middle of the radius of the samples. The differences in the $H$ and $E$ values in the center and at the edges of the samples are higher. In the second case (Figure 5b,d), when the temperature and concentration of iron is the same for the two alloys, and the difference in the annealing duration is 126 h (~47%), $H$ is higher by 1.3 GPa (~23%), and $E$ changes by 8 GPa (~5%) for the Ti–1.98 wt.% Fe alloy as a result.

![Figure 4](image1)

**Figure 4.** Dependences between load $P$ applied to the Berkovich indenter and the indentation depth $h$ for the alloys (1) Ti–1.98 wt.% Fe annealed at 470 °C, (2) Ti–2.04 wt.% Fe annealed at 470 °C, (3) Ti–2.36 wt.% Fe annealed at 615 °C, (4) Ti–3.93 wt.% Fe annealed at 615 °C. After preliminary annealing, the samples were subjected to HPT (7 GPa, 1 rpm, 5 rot). The $P(h)$ dependences were measured (a) in the sample center $R_0$, (b) in the middle of the radius $R_{1/2}$ and (c) at the sample edge $R_1$.

![Figure 5](image2)

**Figure 5.** Values of nanohardness and Young’s modulus measured after HPT in the center ($R_0$), in the middle of the radius ($R_{1/2}$) and at the edge ($R_1$) of the samples for the alloys: (a,b) Ti–2.36 wt.% Fe and Ti–3.93 wt.% Fe preliminarily annealed at 615 °C; (c,d) Ti–1.98 wt.% Fe and Ti–2.04 wt.% Fe, preliminarily annealed at 470 °C.
4. Discussion

As mentioned above, titanium possesses the high-pressure \( \omega \)-phase. The \( \omega \)-phase can be observed after pressure release in HPT. It is present as a metastable one at ambient temperature and disappears only after heating up to several hundred degrees centigrade [2,3,7–12]. In some Ti-alloys the metastable \( \omega \)-phase appears even after a certain heat treatment, without the application of high pressure [13–16]. The \( \alpha \)-Ti phase possesses the space group P63/mmc. \( \alpha \)-Ti has two atoms per unit cell at (1/3,2/3,1/2) and (2/3,1/3,3/2) and a \( c/a \) ratio of ~1.58. The \( \omega \)-Ti possesses the space group P6/mmm. It has three atoms per unit cell at (0,0,0), (1/3,2/3,1/2), and (2/3,1/3,1/2) and a \( c/a \) ratio of ~0.61. Thus, the symmetry of the \( \omega \)-Ti is high, 24 point-group operations, the same as for the simple hexagonal structure. The specific electronic structure facilitates the formation of \( \omega \)-Ti. Titanium has the occupied narrow d-band and the broad sp-bands. Under the applied pressure, the sp-bands rise in energy and cause the electrons to be transferred into the d-band [64]. This process is known as s-d transition and governs the structural properties of the transition metals. The elements stabilizing the \( \beta \)-phase in titanium are mostly transition ones (e.g., Nb, Cr, Zr, Fe, Ni, Cu, Co). They are rich on d-electrons. Thus, the alloying of titanium by the \( \beta \)-stabilizers increases the d-electron concentration. Such alloying can, therefore, provide an additional driving force for the \( \alpha \)Ti to to \( \omega \)Ti transformation. For the \( \alpha \rightarrow \omega \) phase transformation, one can consider the alloying of Ti with \( \beta \)-phase stabilizers as an equivalent of pressure. Hennig [65] concluded from ab initio calculations that the alloying with \( \beta \)-stabilizing elements such as V, Mo, Fe or Ta should lead to a decrease in the onset pressure of the \( \alpha \rightarrow \omega \) transformation. The combined effect of \( \beta \)-stabilizer alloying and pressure application (2–12 GPa) was experimentally studied using Zr–Nb, Ti–Nb and Ti–V alloys only in few works [66–68]. With increasing concentration of \( \beta \)-stabilizer, the formation pressure of \( \omega \)-Ti first decreases. Above a certain concentration of a \( \beta \)-stabilizer the formation pressure of \( \omega \)-Ti rapidly grows.

As a rule, studies conducted on materials after SPD are accompanied by a study of the microstructure, phase composition of samples and measurement of mechanical properties, such as nano- or microhardness [24,25,27,65,66] and elastic modulus [6,69]. One has to underline here the difference between nano and microhardness. When one refers to the “hardness” of a material, one means the property of the material to resist the penetration of a harder material, i.e., the indenter. It is necessary to clearly distinguish between the microhardness and nanohardness of the material. The “nano” prefix reflects the ability of the instruments to measure the displacement of the indenter with a nanometer resolution and operate in the load range from tens to hundreds of nanonewtons to about 10 N. Nanoindentation is realized during tests when the indenter penetration depth \( h \) is below 200 nm, microindentation is performed by an indentation force \( P \) up to 2 N at \( h > 0.2 \) \( \mu \)m, and macroindentation is performed by a force \( P \) from 2 N to 30 kN. The value of nanohardness can differ significantly from the microhardness for the same material [70–72]. In our work, the nanohardness of the material was measured at \( P_{\text{max}} = 200 \) mN.

The measured dependences of microhardness along the sample diameter usually have a minimum in the center [3]. Such a minimum in the middle of the sample usually disappears with an increase in the number of revolutions (i.e., with increasing strain). The authors [3] showed that with an increase in the iron concentration from 0 to 1 wt.% the microhardness of the material increases, and after HPT at 6 GPa it changes in the range from 3.5 to 4.0 GPa, where the main phase in the sample is the \( \omega \) phase and a little \( \alpha \) phase is present. It was shown by Sinha et al. that the hardness of pure titanium increases after HPT with the number of revolutions from the center to the edge in [21]. The authors of reference [21] also concluded that the hardness depends not only on the volume fraction of the \( \alpha \), \( \beta \) or \( \omega \) phase, but also on the size and distribution of the phases. Edalati et al. showed that carrying out HPT at low temperatures leads to a decrease in the grain size, as well as to a change in the phase ratio [19]. It is shown that the difference of HPT temperature of 200K gives a decrease in the grain size of about a factor of five, and reduces the fraction of the \( \omega \) phase. In references [52,73], the authors made detailed
measurements of the micro- and nanohardness of large $\beta$ and $\alpha$ grains in the annealed polycrystal, and showed that the microhardness of $\beta$ grains in pure titanium increases with increasing annealing duration (from ~1.9 to 2.5 GPa), and microhardness of $\alpha$-phase decreases from ~2 to 1.8 GPa). Kao [19] suggested that grain boundaries are the main structural component responsible for the strengthening of a material. Chong [73] was able to measure the nanohardness of individual $\alpha$ (3.9 GPa) and $\beta$ (un-transformed 5.9 GPa and transformed 4.6 GPa) grains in the Ti-1.0 wt.%Fe alloy.

The studied alloys were annealed in two different regions of the phase diagram, namely ($\alpha + \beta$) and ($\alpha + \text{TiFe}$). At the studied iron concentrations, the alloys have similar composition and grain size of $\alpha$, $\beta$ and TiFe phases. Moreover, after HPT they also have similar values of the phase composition and grain size of for different phases. The spread in nanohardness values after HPT is between 4.4 to 5.8 GPa and is the same for both pre-annealing temperatures. The microhardness of pure titanium (99.4 wt.%) increases from 260 to 400 HV with an increase in the applied HPT pressure [18]. The increase in the number of revolutions also leads to the microhardness increase from 200 to 320 HV [2]. This is the standard spread in the Vickers microhardness for titanium and titanium alloys with a small fraction of the second component [2,3,20,61–63]. The nanohardness values in titanium samples after HPT are from 4 to 6 GPa [69,73,74]. It is about 66% higher than microhardness ones. Thus, our data on nanohardness (Figure 5) do not contradict the published data of other authors. Our alloys with the highest and lowest iron content showed similar hardness values. We can see (Figure 5) that preliminary heat treatment has a significant effect on the mechanical properties of the samples after HPT. The presence of even very small amounts of the intermetallic phase TiFe increases the nanohardness for the samples previously annealed in the ($\alpha + \text{TiFe}$) region. The combination of three phases $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\omega$ in the alloy also increases the nanohardness of the material. As can be seen from Figure 5a,b, the multiphase alloy pre-annealed in the temperature region ($\alpha + \text{TiFe}$) has a higher nanohardness value, despite the fact that it contains less iron. The presence of small precipitates of various phases strengthens the material. The values of Young’s moduli in this case turned out to be almost equal over the entire radius of the samples.

The literature data on the modulus of elasticity for titanium alloys are more scarce than those for hardness, and their scatter is rather large [69,75–77]. From the results of the experiment shown in Figure 5a,c, it can be seen that two main factors affecting the nanohardness of alloys are the concentration of iron and the phase composition or their combination. A large concentration of iron in the alloy leads to the fact that after HPT the small amounts of the intermetallic compound TiFe remain. These TiFe precipitates, we assume, strengthen the material stronger than a large number of phases in the material. But in this case, the values of Young’s modulus fall by almost 1.5–2 times.

If it is required to strengthen the material after exposure to HPT, then it is necessary to select the composition of the material and the area of the phase diagram for preliminary heat treatment. If high values of Young’s modulus are required, then pre-annealing in the two-phase region and multiplicity of phases after HPT will raise its values. Two factors have a decisive influence on the micro/nanohardness of the material, namely the presence of intermetallic phase and the precipitates at the grain boundaries.

5. Conclusions

High pressure torsion (HPT) unduces to the phase transitions in the Ti-based alloys. In particular, HPT leads to the formation of a high-pressure $\omega$-Ti phase which remains metastable after pressure release. Phase composition of the samples depends on the phase temperature of preliminary annealing (above or below the temperature of eutectoid transformation). In turn, the component and phase composition after HPT control the nanohardness $H$ and Young’s modulus $E$. In such a way that $H$ and $E$ also depend on the region of the phase diagram in which the preliminary heat treatment is carried out. Preliminary heat treatment in ($\alpha + \beta$) region gives high values of Young’s modulus $E$. The increase of the nanohardness $H$ of the material requires either the presence of an
intermetallic phase, which can be obtained after annealing in the ($\alpha + \text{TiFe}$) region, or a larger number of different phases.
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