GRAIN BOUNDARY PHASE TRANSITIONS AND PRESSURE EFFECT

B. Straumal

Institute of Solid State Physics, 142432 Chernogolovka, Moscow District, Russia

Abstract Grain boundary (GB) phase transitions can change drastically the properties of polycrystals. The GB wetting phase transition can occur in the two-phase area of the bulk phase diagram where the liquid (L) and solid (S) phases are in equilibrium. The GB wetting tie line appears in the L+S area. Above the temperature of the GB wetting phase transition a GB cannot exist in equilibrium with the liquid bulk phase. The liquid phase has to substitute the GB and to separate both grains. The experimental data on GB wetting phase transitions in the systems Al–Sn, Al–Ga, Al–Sn–Ga, Cu–In, Cu–Bi, Fe–Si–Zn, Mo–Ni, W–Ni, Zn–Sn and Zn–In are analysed. The GB wetting tie line can continue in the one-phase area of the bulk phase diagram as a GB solidus line. This line represents the GB premelting or prewetting phase transitions. The GB properties change drastically when the GB solidus line is crossed by a change in the temperature or concentration. The experimental data on GB segregation, energy, mobility and diffusivity in the systems Cu–Bi, Al–Ga, Al–Pb and Fe–Si–Zn obtained both in polycrystals and bicrystals are analysed.

Keywords: Grain boundaries; Phase diagrams; Phase transitions; Premelting; Wetting

Introduction

The properties of modern materials, especially those of superplastic, nanocrystalline or composite materials, depend critically on the properties of internal interfaces such as grain boundaries (GBs) and interphase boundaries (IBs). All processes which can change the properties of GBs and IBs affect drastically the behaviour of polycrystalline metals and ceramics [1]. GB phase transitions are one of the important examples of such processes [2]. Recently, the lines of GB phase transitions began to appear in the traditional bulk phase diagrams [2–7]. The addition of these equilibrium lines to the bulk phase diagrams ensures an adequate description of polycrystalline materials.

Grain boundary wetting phase transitions

In this work GB wetting, prewetting and premelting phase transitions are considered. The GB melting, GB faceting transition and the "special GB – random GB phase transitions" are analyzed elsewhere [8–10].One of the most important GB phase transitions is the *GB wetting transition*. Since their prediction by Cahn [11] the study of wetting phase transitions has been of great experimental and theoretical interest, primarily for planar solid substrates and fluid mixtures [12–14]. Particularly, it was experimentally shown that the wetting transition is of first order, namely the discontinuity of the surface energy was measured and the hysteresis of the wetting behavior was observed [15, 16]. The important difference is that in case of GB wetting only two phases coexist, namely the liquid (melt) phase and the solid one containing the boundary between the misoriented grains. Therefore, the contact angle θ also depends only on two different surface energies (the GB energy σ_{GB} and the energy of the solid/liquid interphase boundary σ_{SL}) instead of three ones in the usual experiments: $\sigma_{GB} = 2 \sigma_{SL} \cos(\theta/2)$. If $\sigma_{GB} < 2\sigma_{SL}$, the GB is incompletely wetted and the contact angle $\theta > 0$ (Fig.1(c)). At the temperature T_w of the *GB wetting phase transition* $\sigma_{GB} = 2\sigma_{SL}$, and at $T \ge T_w$ the GB is completely wetted by the liquid phase and θ

= 0. If two GBs have different energies the temperatures of their GB wetting transitions will also differ: the lower σ_{GB} , the higher T_w . If the GB wetting phase transition is of first order, there is a discontinuity in the temperature derivative of the GB energy at T_w which is equal to $[\partial \sigma_{GB}/\partial T - \partial (2\sigma_{SL})/\partial T]$ [11, 16]. If the GB wetting phase transition is of second order, $\partial \sigma_{GB}/\partial T = \partial (2\sigma_{SL})/\partial T$ at T_w . The theory predicts also the form of the temperature dependence $\theta(T)$ at $T \rightarrow T_w$: it must be convex for a first order wetting transition [$\theta \sim \tau^{1/2}$ where $\tau = (T_w - T)/T_w$] and concave for a second order wetting transition: $\theta \sim \tau^{3/2}$ [12].

Consider the contact between a bicrystal and a liquid phase *L*. If the GB energy σ_{GB} is lower than the energy of two solid/liquid interfaces $2\sigma_{SL}$, the GB is not completely wetted and the contact angle $\theta > 0$. If $\sigma_{GB} > 2\sigma_{SL}$ the GB is wetted completely by the liquid phase and $\theta = 0$. If the temperature dependences $\sigma_{GB}(T)$ and $2\sigma_{SL}(T)$ intersect, then the GB wetting phase transition proceeds at the temperature T_w of their intersection. The contact angle θ decreases gradually with increasing temperature down to zero at T_w . At $T > T_w$ the contact angle $\theta = 0$. The *tie line of the GB wetting phase transition* appears at T_w in the two-phase region (*S+L*) of the bulk phase diagram. Above this tie line GBs with an energy σ_{GB} cannot exist in equilibrium with the liquid phase. The liquid phase forms a layer separating the crystals.

First indications of the GB wetting phase transitions were found by measuring of the contact angles in polycrystals [17]. Correct measurements were later perfomed using metallic bicrystals with iundividual tilt GBs in the Al–Sn, Al–Pb–Sn [3, 18, 19], Al–Ga, Al–Sn–Ga [20, 21], Cu–In [4], Cu–Bi [5, 22, 23], Fe–Si–Zn [24–27], Mo–Ni [28], W–Ni [29] and Zn–Sn [7] systems. The tie-lines of the GB wetting phase transition were constructed basing on the experimental data [3, 4, 7, 18–29]. The difference in the GB wetting phase transition temperature was experimentally revealed for GBs with different energies [4, 18]. The precize measurements of the temperature dependence of the contact angle revealed also that the GB wetting phase transition is of the first order [18]. The inications of presence of the liquid-like phase along the dislocation lines were also found [23].

GB prewetting and premelting phase transitions

It was pointed out by Cahn [30] that, when the critical consolution point of two phases is approached, GBs of one critical phase should be wetted by a layer of another critical phase, and in the one-phase region of a phase diagram there should be a singularity connected with an abrupt transition to a microscopic wetting layer. We distinguish two possible situations: the first one, when a layer of the new phase is formed on the GB (*prewetting transition*), and the second one, when the GB is replaced by a layer of the new phase (*premelting phase transition*). At the prewetting transition the difference between two phases must be small, while at the premelting transition the wetting phase may differ from that of the bulk dramatically. The lines of the GB prewetting or premelting phase transitions appear in the one-phase areas of the bulk phase diagrams where only one bulk phase can exist in the thermodynamic equilibrium (e.g. solid solution). These lines continue the tie-lines of the GB wetting phase transitions and represent the GB solidus. The thin liquid-like layer of the GB phase exists on the GBs between the bulk solidus and GB solidus in the phase diagram. During the GB premelting phase transition this layer appears abruptly on the GB by the intersection of GB solidus. As a result, the GB properties (diffusivity, mobility, segregation) change dramatically.

The premelting transition has been revealed in the ternary Fe–Si–Zn system by measurements of Zn *GB diffusivity* along tilt GBs in the Fe–Si alloys [24–27]. It was found that the

penetration profiles of Zn along GBs consist of two sections, one with a small slope (high GB diffusivity) at high Zn concentrations and one with a large slope (low GB diffusivity) at low Zn concentrations. The transition from one type of behavior to the other was found to occur at a definite Zn concentration cht at the GB, which is an equilibrium characteristic of a GB and depends on the temperature. The GB diffusivity increases about two orders of magnitude which is an indication of a quasi-liquid layer present in the GBs at high Zn concentration. The line of GB premelting phase transition in the one-phase area of the bulk phase diagram continues the line of the GB wetting phase transion in the two-phase L+S area: by pressure increase both the GB wetting and the GB enhanced diffusivity disappear together at the same pressure value [27]. The GB mobility was studied for two tilt GBs in bicrystals grown of high purity 99.999 wt.% Al and of the same material doped with 50 wt. ppm Ga [21]. The GB mobility increased about 10 times by addition of the Ga content for the both GBs studied. Normally, the addition of a second component can only decrease the GB mobility due to the solution drag [31]. The increase of the GB mobility can only be explained by the formation of the liquid-like Ga-rich layer on the GBs as a reslut of a premelting phase transition The GB segregation of Bi in Cu was studied in the broad temperature and concentration interval [5, 22, 23]. It was shown that at a fixed Bi concentration the GB segregation change abruptly at a certain temperature. Below this temperature the GB Bi concentration is constant and corresponds to a thin layer of pure Bi (GB phase). Above this temperature the GB segregation is lower than one monolayer of Bi and decreases gradually with increasing temperature according to the usual laws. These features indicate also the formation of a thin layer of a GB phase in the one-phase area of the bulk Cu-Bi phase diagram. The points of the abrupt change of the GB segregation form the GB solidus line in the bulk Cu-Bi phase diagram [22, 23].

Acknowledgements

The financial support of the German Federal Ministry for Education, Science, Research and Technology, the INTAS Programme, the German Science Foundation (DFG) and the Copernicus Programme is acknowledged.

References

- 1. T. G. Langdon, T. Watanabe, J. Wadsworth, M. J. Mayo, S. R. Nutt, and M. E. Kassner, Mater. Sci. Eng. A **166**, 237 (1993).
- 2. B. B. Straumal, and W. Gust, Mater. Sci. Forum 207-209, 59 (1996).
- 3. B. Straumal, D. Molodov, and W. Gust, J. Phase Equilibria 15, 386 (1994).
- 4. B. Straumal, T. Muschik, W. Gust, and B. Predel, Acta metall. mater. 40, 939 (1992).
- 5. L.-S. Chang, E. Rabkin, B. B. Straumal, S. Hofmann, B. Baretzky, and W. Gust, Defect Diff. Forum **156**, 135 (1998).
- 6. B. Straumal, V. Semenov, V. Glebovsky, and W. Gust, Defect Diff. Forum **143–147**, 1517 (1997).
- 7. B. B. Straumal, W. Gust, and T. Watanabe, Mater. Sci. Forum 294–296, 411 (1999).
- 8. F. Ernst, M. W. Finnis, A. Koch, C. Schmidt, B. Straumal, and W. Gust, Z. Metallk. 87, 911 (1996).
- 9. B. B. Straumal, and L. S. Shvindlerman, Acta metall. 33, 1735 (1985).
- 10. E. L. Maksimova, L. S. Shvindlerman, and B. B. Straumal, Acta metall. 36, 1573 (1988).
- 11. J.W. Cahn, J. Chem. Phys. 66, 3667 (1977).
- 12. S. Dietrich, in C. Domb and J. H. Lebowitz (Eds.), Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, , Academic Press, London, **12**, 2 (1988).
- 13. D. Jasnov, Rep. Prog. Phys. 47, 1059 (1984).
- 14. G. de Gennes, Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 827 (1985).
- 15. H. Kellay, D. Bonn, and J. Meunier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2607 (1993).
- 16. J. W. Schmidt, and M. R. Moldover, J. Chem. Phys. 79, 379 (1983).
- 17. N. Eustatopoulos, L. Coudurier, J. C. Joud, and P. Desre, J. Crystal Growth 33, 105 (1976).

- 18. B. Straumal, W. Gust, and D. Molodov, Interface Sci. 3, 127 (1995).
- 19. B. Straumal, D. Molodov, and W. Gust, Mater. Sci. Forum 207–209, 437 (1996).
- 20. B. Straumal, S. Risser, V. Sursaeva, B. Chenal, and W. Gust, J. Physique IV 5-C7, 233 (1995).
- D. A. Molodov, U. Czubayko, G. Gottstein, L. S. Shvindlerman, B. B. Straumal, and W. Gust, Phil. Mag. Lett. 72, 361 (1995).
- 22. L.-S. Chang, B. B. Straumal, E. Rabkin, W. Gust, and F. Sommer, J. Phase Equilibria 18, 128 (1997).
- 23. L.-S. Chang, E. Rabkin, B. Straumal, P. Lejcek, S. Hofmann, and W. Gust, Scripta mater. **37**, 729 (1997).
- 24. E. I. Rabkin.V. N. Semenov, L. S. Shvindlerman, and B. B. Straumal, Acta metall. mater. **39**, 627 (1991).
- 25. O. I. Noskovich, E. I. Rabkin, V. N. Semenov, L. S. Shvindlerman, and B. B. Straumal, Acta metal. mater. **39**, 3091 (1991).
- 26. B. B. Straumal, O. I. Noskovich, V. N. Semenov, L. S. Shvindlerman, W. Gust, and B. Predel, Acta metall. mater. **40**, 795 (1992).
- 27. B. Straumal, E. Rabkin, W. Lojkowski, W. Gust, and L. S. Shvindlerman, Acta mater. **45**, 1931 (1997).
- E. Rabkin, D. Weygand, B. Straumal, V. Semenov, W. Gust, and Y. Brèchet, Phil. Mag. Lett. 73, 187 (1996).
- 29. V. G. Glebovsky, B. B. Straumal, V. N. Semenov, V. G. Sursaeva, and W. Gust, High Temp. Mater. Proc. **13**, 67 (1994).
- 30. J.W. Cahn, J. Phys.Colloq. 43-C6, 199 (1982).
- 31. D. Weygand, Y. Bréchet, E. Rabkin, B. Straumal, and W. Gust, Phil. Mag. Lett. 76, 133 (1997).