Spin-lattice relaxation of Mn ions in ZnMnSe/ZnBeSe quantum wells measured under pulsed photoexcitation

M. K. Kneip, D. R. Yakovlev,* and M. Bayer Experimentelle Physik II, Universität Dortmund, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany

A. A. Maksimov and I. I. Tartakovskii

Institute of Solid State Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, 142432 Chernogolovka, Russia

D. Keller, W. Ossau, and L. W. Molenkamp Physikalisches Institut der Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

A. Waag

Institute of Semiconductor Technology, Braunschweig Technical University, D-38106 Braunschweig, Germany (Received 19 May 2005; revised manuscript received 17 June 2005; published 9 January 2006)

The dynamics of spin-lattice relaxation of the Mn ions in (Zn, Mn)Se-based diluted-magnetic-semiconductor quantum wells is studied by time-resolved photoluminescence. The spin-lattice relaxation time varies by five orders of magnitude from 10^{-3} down to 10^{-8} s, when the Mn content increases from 0.4 up to 11%. Free carriers play an important role in this dynamics. Hot carriers with excess kinetic energy contribute to *heating* of the Mn system, while *cooling* of the Mn system occurs in the presence of cold background carriers provided by modulation doping. In a $Zn_{0.89}$ Mn_{0.11}Se quantum well structure, where the spin-lattice relaxation process is considerably shorter than the characteristic lifetime of nonequilibrium phonons, also the phonon dynamics and its contribution to heating of the Mn system are investigated.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.73.045305

PACS number(s): 78.55.Et, 78.20.Ls, 75.50.Pp, 85.75.-d

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years the fast developing research area of spintronics has attracted much attention. New device concepts, based on precise manipulation of electron spin rather than on controlling electron charge have been invented.^{1.2} Operation of future spintronics devices inevitably implies experimental possibilities to create spin-polarized electrons (spin injection), to controllably switch their spin state (spin manipulation), and to store orientation and/or phase of the spin state (spin memory, spin coherence). Diluted magnetic semiconductors (DMS) are among the most promising materials for these devices and are widely used nowadays for testing novel design concepts. DMS based on II-VI semiconductors like (Cd,Mn)Te, (Zn,Mn)Se, (Zn,Mn)Te are very suitable for this purpose due to the possibility to use optical spectroscopy in such studies.

Often Mn^{2+} ions form the magnetically active component in II-VI DMS. Mn^{2+} has a total magnetic moment 5/2 based on pure spin momentum provided by the five *d*-shell electrons and substitutes metal ions in the cation sublattice. Strong exchange interaction of the localized Mn^{2+} magnetic moments with conduction band electrons (*s*-*d* interaction) and/or valence band holes (*p*-*d* interaction) creates a variety of giant magneto-optical and magneto-transport effects in DMS. Among them are giant Zeeman splittings of the band states that may exceed 100 meV at low temperatures, giant Faraday and Kerr rotation effects, formation of magnetic polarons, etc.^{3,4} The magnetic properties of the Mn-ion system, which depend strongly on Mn concentration, play a key role in these effects. For example, neighboring Mn ions interact antiferromagnetically which leads to the formation of high ordered clusters and spin-glass phases at higher Mn concentrations.³ Also the dynamical properties, namely spin dephasing and spin-lattice relaxation rates, of the localized Mn spins are controlled by concentration dependent exchange interactions between the Mn ions.⁵ It has been shown that the spin-lattice relaxation (SLR) time varies by several orders of magnitude from milliseconds down to nanoseconds with increasing Mn content.^{5–7}

As the Mn spin-lattice relaxation is of key importance for the dynamical processes in DMS, during last decade a number of studies have been performed for bulk (Cd, Mn)Te⁸⁻¹² and for (Cd, Mn)Te-based heterostructures^{6,13,14} with Mn concentrations not exceeding 5%. It has been shown that the SLR rate is a strong function of the lattice temperature,¹² and it decreases weakly in magnetic fields *B* below 12 T^{8-10,6} but increases rapidly ($\tau_{SLR}^{-1} \propto B^5$) for B > 17 T.¹¹ In DMS heterostructures the SLR rate can be accelerated by the presence of free carriers, that can be provided by modulation doping,^{13,14} and also by realization of the concept of heteromagnetic nanostructures with varying Mn concentrations across the sample.¹⁵

Only very recently experimental studies have been extended toward (Zn, Mn)Se heterostructures.^{7,15} In this paper we report on a comprehensive investigation of the SLR dynamics of Mn ions in (Zn, Mn)Se quantum well structures with widely varying parameters. Implementation of pulsed laser excitation for the Mn spin heating allows us to extend the range of the dynamics time constants down to nanoseconds, facilitating the study of samples with Mn content covering the large range from 0.4 up to 11%. Further, besides

TABLE I. Technological parameters and experimental values for (Zn,Mn)Se based samples studied in this paper.

Sample no.	Code	Mn content, <i>x</i>	Electron concentration $\times 10^{10}$ (cm ⁻²)	SLR time (µs)	QW width (Å)	Barrier width (Å)	Be content, y	Number of periods	PL linewidth, FWHM (meV)
1	CB1542	0.004	undoped	960	100	200	0.06	5	2.0
2	CB2033	0.004	3	550	100	_	0.06	1	2.0
3	CB2034	0.004	32	100	100	_	0.06	1	4.2
4	CB2037	0.004	55	70	100	—	0.06	1	6.9
5	CB1651	0.012	undoped	600	150	_	0.06	1	2.2
6	CB1581	0.015	undoped	530	150	_	0.04	1	2.1
7	CB2422	0.02	undoped	100	100	_	0.06	1	2.6
8	CB2169	0.035	undoped	11	100	—	0.06	1	2.3
9	CB1433	0.06	undoped	1.8	200	100	0.05	10	2.7
10	CB1340	0.1	undoped	0.03	3000	—	—	epilayer	8.5
11	CB886	0.11	undoped	0.02-0.07	100	95	0.11	10	6.5

nominally undoped also modulation doped samples have been studied, showing that the presence of free carriers accelerates considerably the SLR rates.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Samples

The $Zn_{1-r}Mn_rSe/Zn_{1-v}Be_vSe$ quantum well heterostructures were grown by molecular beam epitaxy on (100)oriented GaAs substrates. The substrates were covered by a buffer consisting of 10 Å thick BeTe, 20 Å thick ZnSe, and 4000 Å thick $Zn_{0.97}Be_{0.03}Se$ layers, to improve the surface quality and the lattice matching with the barrier material. Subsequently the quantum well (QW) structures were grown. The structural parameters for the studied samples are collected in Table I. The Mn content in the Zn_{1-x}Mn_xSe QW layers was varied from x=0.004 to 0.11. Most of the samples were nominally undoped, so that the background electron density in the wells does not exceed 10^{10} cm⁻². Also a set of modulation doped samples with two-dimensional electron gas concentrations up to 5.5×10^{11} cm⁻² was grown to study the effect of free carriers on the SLR dynamics. Information about the optical characterization of the structures can be found in Refs. 16 and 17.

B. Experimental technique

A common way to measure the spin-lattice relaxation rate of the Mn-spin system is to heat it by an impact pulse and to follow the evolution of the Mn spin temperature $(T_{\rm Mn})$ while the system is returning to equilibrium. Here we use an optical method to measure $T_{\rm Mn}$ through the giant Zeeman splitting of the excitonic states. The latter can be measured from the spectral position of the excitonic photoluminescence (PL) emission line. This method has been used in combination with the heating impact of nonequilibrium phonons generated by electrical pulses (see Ref. 6 and references therein). Here it will be modified for the use of laser pulses as sources of the dynamic impact for heating the Mn system. The optical measurements were performed at a bath temperature of 1.6 K for which the samples were immersed in superfluid helium. Magnetic fields up to 7 T were applied parallel to the structure growth axis and to the direction of collected light (Faraday geometry). The emission was analyzed according to its circular polarization either right-hand σ^+ or left-hand σ^- . A pulsed YAG laser operating at wavelengths of either 355 nm (third harmonic) or 532 nm (second harmonic) was used for heating the Mn system. The pulse duration was 7 ns, the maximum peak power up to approximately 1 kW at a repetition rate of up to 10 kHz. Time resolved PL spectra were recorded by a gated charge-coupleddevice (CCD) camera synchronized with the pulsed laser. The gate signal which could be delayed with respect to the laser pulses provided a temporal resolution better than 2 ns.

A characteristic exciton recombination time in ZnSe-based quantum wells is about 100 ps, i.e., it is much shorter than the duration of the laser pulse. In order to get information about relaxation processes exceeding the laser pulse duration we provide additional illumination of the sample with a cw HeCd laser at 325 nm. The excitation density of this laser was kept below 0.1 W/cm², to minimize heating of the Mn system. The laser excitation spots usually were larger than 1 mm in diameter and only small central parts (<100 μ m in diameter) of these spots were projected on the entrance slit of a 0.5 m monochromator. This allows us to avoid uncertainties caused by spatially inhomogeneous excitation.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Twofold dynamic impact of the laser excitation for Mn heating

Laser light that is absorbed in a DMS structure generates photocarriers (electrons and holes) with excess kinetic energy. This energy can be transferred to the Mn-spin system via two channels shown schematically in Fig. 1. The first channel is provided by exchange scattering of the free carriers with the magnetic ions enabling a direct energy transfer.



FIG. 1. Channels for energy transfer in the process of heating (double-line arrows) and cooling (single-line arrow) of Mn spin system under laser excitation. The dashed-line arrow shows phonon generation due to energy relaxation of photocarriers. Laser light heats the Mn system by carrier $I_c(t)$ and phonon $I_{ph}(t)$ impacts. The system relaxes towards equilibrium (given by the lattice temperature) with the spin-lattice relaxation time τ_{SLR} .

The second channel is indirect and involves nonequilibrium phonons generated by free carriers during energy relaxation. Therefore, laser pulses have a twofold dynamic impact in heating the Mn system. These two impacts may differ in temporal duration and profile and in heating efficiency. Their relative contributions depend strongly on the DMS structure parameters and the excitation conditions.^{18–21} After the impact pulse the Mn system temperature will relax back to the lattice temperature within the spin-lattice relaxation time.

Let us consider the characteristics of the carrier and phonon impacts for our experimental conditions. The temporal profile of the laser pulse $I_L(t)$ is shown in Fig. 2 by the solid line. It can be well described by a Gaussian profile with a full width at half maximum of ~7 ns and its asymmetry does not exceed 10%. If photocarriers are generated in the (Zn,Be)Se barriers, they are captured in the (Zn,Mn)Se quantum wells and recombine there through exciton states. Carrier lifetimes in the studied structures do not exceed 100 ps, which is typical for ZnSe-based quantum wells.²² They have been measured with a streak-camera after ps-pulsed laser excitation. Details will be published elsewhere. Therefore, taking into account our time resolution, the temporal profile of the car-



FIG. 2. Temporal evolution of a Nd:YAG laser pulse (solid line) and of the photoluminescence signal of a $Zn_{0.89}Mn_{0.11}Se/Zn_{0.89}Be_{0.11}Se$ QW sample (dots). In the inset the two impacts to the Mn heating are shown schematically: $I_c(t)$ is the *carrier impact* due to direct energy transfer from carriers to the ions and $I_{ph}(t)$ is the *phonon impact* due to indirect energy transfer mediated by nonequilibrium phonons.

rier impact $I_c(t)$ should coincide with the laser pulse $I_L(t)$. This is confirmed in experiment where no distinct difference is observed between the laser excitation dynamics and the dynamics of the excitonic emission, shown exemplarily for sample #11 (x=0.11) by dots in Fig. 2. However, the temporal evolution of the phonon impact $I_{ph}(t)$ differs from the laser pulse. Nonequilibrium phonons are generated by dissipation of the kinetic energy of the photocarriers. Therefore, while the leading edge of $I_{ph}(t)$ does not exceed ~10 ns, (i.e., the integral of the laser pulse), but the trailing edge is determined by the characteristic lifetime of acoustic phonons, which is in the order of 1 μ s at low temperatures.²³ The temporal profiles of the dynamic impacts of carriers and phonons are given schematically in the inset of Fig. 2.

B. Response of the Mn system to the dynamic impacts

The dynamical response of the Mn temperature $T_{Mn}(t)$ on an impact will differ for $I_c(t)$ and $I_{ph}(t)$, as it is determined by the difference in characteristic times during which energy can be transferred from the carriers to the Mn ions (τ_{c-Mn}), from the phonons to the Mn ions (τ_{SLR}) and from the Mn ions back to the lattice (τ_{SLR}). Therefore, the response allows us to measure these times experimentally. However, the different impact contributions need to be distinguished from the magnetization relaxation, which is not always trivial. In this work we concentrate solely on the spin-lattice relaxation dynamics in (Zn,Mn)Se. A detailed consideration of the interplay of the different energy transfer scenarios determining $T_{Mn}(t)$ for our double dynamic impact situation is out of the frame of this paper. Here we consider only regimes, which have been realized in our experimental conditions.

When τ_{SLR} exceeds the durations of the impact pulses, Δt_c for the carrier impact and Δt_{ph} for the phonon impact, the SLR time can be measured from the decay of $T_{Mn}(t)$. This regime has been realized experimentally by injection of nonequilibrium phonons, enabling the measurement of τ_{SLR} in (Cd, Mn)Te QWs with $x < 0.035.^{6}$ The situation becomes more complicated when the SLR dynamics is faster than the phonon impact. In Fig. 3 we analyze the case $\Delta t_c < \tau_{SLR} < \Delta t_{ph}$ for different relative contributions of carriers and phonons. The impact profiles are shown by solid lines and the expected $T_{Mn}(t)$ are given by dashed lines. Cases (a) and (b) are for single impact conditions, when one of the contributions strongly dominates the other. For carrier impact only [case (a)], $\Delta t_c < \tau_{SLR}$ and τ_{SLR} determines the decrease of $T_{Mn}(t)$ toward the lattice temperature. In case (b) $\tau_{SLR} \leq \Delta t_{ph}$ and SLR time can be measured from the rise of $T_{\rm Mn}(t)$. This is possible due to the sharp rise of the phonon impact $I_{ph}(t)$, which is $\sim \Delta t_c$. The decrease of $T_{Mn}(t)$ follows the slow decay of the phonon impact. The double impact case (c) is realized for the condition $\Theta_c > \Theta_{ph}$, where Θ_c and Θ_{ph} are the maximum T_{Mn} that are obtained under carrier and phonon impacts, respectively. Θ_c and Θ_{ph} can be used to compare the efficiencies of the Mn system heating by the different impacts. In case (c), the decrease of $T_{Mn}(t)$ has fast and slow components, corresponding to the SLR and the phonon impact, respectively.



FIG. 3. Schematic presentation of the dynamical response of the Mn system on the impact pulses under various experimental conditions: (a) carrier impact only, with a duration shorter than τ_{SLR} ; (b) phonon impact only, with duration longer than τ_{SLR} ; (c) double impact of carriers and phonons with $\Delta t_c < \tau_{SLR} < \Delta t_{ph}$ and $\Theta_c > \Theta_{ph}$.

It should be noted that Fig. 3(a) qualitatively describes as well the situation when the SLR time is longer than the duration of both dynamic impacts, i.e., Δt_c , $\Delta t_{ph} < \tau_{SLR}$. In this case, as already found above, the SLR time can be determined from the decay of $T_{\rm Mn}(t)$.

C. Optical detection of the magnetization dynamics

Here we are interested in the dynamical response of the magnetization due to the impact of a laser pulse. To study this impact, a finite equilibrium magnetization has to be induced by application of an external magnetic field and its time evolution has to be detected. Optical spectroscopy offers very sensitive methods for such a measurement. In the present studies we exploit the internal Mn-spin thermometer, which is provided by the high sensitivity of the giant Zeeman splitting of excitons (band states) to the polarization of the magnetic ions.

The giant Zeeman splitting is proportional to the magnetization and thus to the average spin of the Mn ions.

$$\Delta E_Z = (\delta_e \alpha - \delta_h \beta) N_0 x \langle S_z \rangle \tag{1}$$

Here $N_0 \alpha = 0.26$ eV and $N_0 \beta = -1.31$ eV are the exchange constants in $\text{Zn}_{1-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{Se}$ for conduction and valence band, respectively.²⁴ N_0 is the inverse unit-cell volume and x is the Mn mole fraction. The parameters δ_e and δ_h have been introduced to account for the leakage of the electron and hole wave functions into the nonmagnetic ZnBeSe barriers. For the studied structures the parameters are very close to unity as the carrier wave functions are well localized in the DMS quantum wells. $\langle S_z \rangle$ is the mean thermal value of the Mn spin component along the magnetic field $B=B_z$ at a Mn spin temperature T_{Mn} . It can be expressed by the Brillouin function B_{5/2}:

$$\langle S_z \rangle = -S_{eff}(x) \mathbf{B}_{5/2} \left[\frac{5g_{\mathrm{Mn}} \mu_B B}{2k_B (T_{\mathrm{Mn}} + T_0(x))} \right].$$
(2)

Here $g_{Mn}=2$ is the g-factor of the Mn²⁺ ions. S_{eff} is the effective spin and T_0 is the effective temperature. These pa-



FIG. 4. (a) PL spectra of a $Zn_{0.89}Mn_{0.11}Se/Zn_{0.89}Be_{0.11}Se$ sample. The two bottom spectra are taken under very low cw-laser excitation, the others are recorded at different time delays Δt with respect to the YAG laser pulse maximum: $\Delta t \approx 0$ ns (corresponds to the pulse maximum), 10 ns (just after the pulse), and 1 μ s later. The excitation density $P \approx 54$ kW/cm², magnetic field B=3 T (solid lines) and B=0 T (dashed line), bath temperature T=1.6 K. (b) Temporal variation of the PL spectral line position E_{PL} at a magnetic field B=3 T (closed circles) and of the circular polarization degree P_c at B=0.12 T (open circles) in a $Zn_{0.89}Mn_{0.11}Se/Zn_{0.89}Be_{0.11}Se$ QW structure. Excitation density $P \approx 12$ kW/cm²; bath temperature T=1.6 K; the laser pulse maximum position is indicated by the vertical arrow.

rameters permit a phenomenological description of the antiferromagnetic Mn-Mn exchange interaction. For their values we refer, e.g., to Fig. 4 of Ref. 17.

Photoluminescence spectra of the Zn_{0.89}Mn_{0.11}Se/Zn_{0.89}Be_{0.11}Se DMS quantum well structure (sample #11) are given in Fig. 4(a). The two bottom spectra were detected under cw laser illumination with very low excitation density to avoid heating of the Mn system above the bath temperature. The giant Zeeman shift of the emission line amounts to about 40 meV at B=3 T. The three upper spectra show the emission line at different delays with respect to the impact laser pulse. Just after the pulse $(\Delta t \approx 10 \text{ ns})$ the Zeeman shift is reduced to ~14 meV, which corresponds to a heating of the Mn system up to $T_{\rm Mn}$ =17 K. After one microsecond the line is shifted back to lower energies, reflecting the cooling of the Mn system.

There are two characteristics of the magneto-optical spectra, which can be exploited for obtaining information about the temperature of the Mn-spin system. Both are related to the giant Zeeman splitting effect of the conduction and valence band states. The first characteristic is the energy shift



FIG. 5. Temporal evolution of PL spectral line shift ΔE_{PL} which is proportional to the change ΔM of the Mn magnetization in Zn_{1-x}Mn_xSe/Zn_{0.94}Be_{0.06}Se QWs with different Mn content x. The spin-lattice relaxation time τ_{SLR} decreases from 960 to 11 μ s with increasing x from 0.004 to 0.035. B=3 T.

of the emission line. It is convenient to use it in relatively strong magnetic fields (exceeding ~ 0.5 T), for which the Zeeman shift can be clearly detected. For measurements in weak magnetic fields (below ~ 0.5 T) the circular polarization degree of emission can be analyzed. It has been shown that both characteristics provide the same information about the Mn spin temperature (see Fig. 10 in Ref. 17).

Figure 4(b) shows the time evolution of both Zeeman shift and polarization degree induced by laser pulse excitation in a Zn_{0.89}Mn_{0.11}Se/Zn_{0.89}Be_{0.11}Se QW. Fast heating of the Mn ions occurs during action of the laser pulse and is reflected by a high-energy shift of the PL maximum by 23 meV and a decrease of the polarization degree from 0.9 to 0.2. After the laser pulse, the Mn system temperature relaxes towards equilibrium with a relaxation time constant of \sim 23 ns. However, it saturates at a level which exceeds the bath temperature. We will show below that this level is controlled by nonequilibrium phonons. To reach the equilibrium temperature of 1.6 K takes a much longer time of a few μ s. Remarkably the Zeeman shift and the polarization degree show a very similar temporal behavior and therefore both of them are well suited for optical detection of the spin-lattice dynamics. In the following we will restrict ourselves to a Zeeman shift analysis to measure the SLR time in (Zn, Mn)Se structures with different Mn contents.

D. Low Mn concentration: SLR times longer then the impact duration

For low Mn concentrations, where the SLR dynamics takes considerably longer than the characteristic time of the phonon impact of ~1 μ s, the SLR times can be extracted from the decay of the dynamical response [as sketched in Fig. 3(a) for Δt_c , $\Delta t_{ph} < \tau_{SLR}$]. In Fig. 5 the spectral shift of PL line ΔE_{PL} induced by the laser impact is plotted as a function of time for samples with different Mn content. Once more we emphasize that the measured values for ΔE_{PL} are proportional to the changes in magnetization, i.e., to changes in the average spin of the Mn ions. For a suitable comparison of the different samples, the data have been normalized to the maximum shift ΔE_{PL}^{max} and have been plotted on a logarithmic scale. As seen from the linear dependence on this



FIG. 6. Spin-lattice relaxation time as a function of Mn content for nominally undoped $Zn_{1-x}Mn_xSe/Zn_yBe_{1-y}Se$ structures (closed circles). Values are also listed in Table I. Open symbols represent the literature data for $Cd_{1-x}Mn_xTe$ bulk samples (triangles and circles) (Refs. 12 and 10) and $Cd_{1-x}Mn_xTe$ -based heterostructures (diamonds) (Ref. 6). The dashed lines indicate typical lifetimes of nonequilibrium phonons.

scale, the spin relaxation can be well described by a single exponential behavior. This holds for all samples with Mn content ranging from 0.004 up to 0.035, but the increase of Mn concentration is accompanied by a strong decrease of the SLR times from 960 to 11 μ s.

In Fig. 6 the spin-lattice relaxation time is plotted as a function of Mn content. The full circles show the experimental data for (Zn, Mn)Se-based structures, while the open symbols give the literature data for (Cd, Mn)Te. The (Zn, Mn)Se values for τ_{SLR} follow the same trend as those for (Cd, Mn)Te and further support the idea that the relaxation process is caused by anisotropic spin interactions of the magnetic ions that are rather insensitive to the ion host material (see discussion in Sec. III F). For x > 0.04 the SLR dynamics approaches the duration of the phonon impact. The modifications of the dynamical response in this case are considered in the next section.

E. High Mn concentration: SLR times comparable with the impact duration

As discussed in Sec. III B, the double impact nature of the laser excitation leads to a complicated dynamical response of the magnetic ion system under conditions when the SLR time is shorter than the phonon impact time. This regime $\Delta t_c < \tau_{SLR} < \Delta t_{ph}$ corresponds to the situation sketched in Fig. 3(c). Experimentally it is realized for the Zn_{0.89}Mn_{0.11}Se/Zn_{0.89}Be_{0.11}Se structure. The Mn temperature obtained from the spectroscopic data at *B*=3 T are shown in the two panels of Fig. 7. Two different laser excitation wavelengths were used in these studies.

Laser light of 532 nm wavelength (photon energy 2.33 eV) is not absorbed by the (Zn,Mn)Se QW and also not by the (Zn,Be)Se barriers, and therefore does not generate carriers in the QWs. However, it is absorbed in GaAs and the Mn system in the (Zn,Mn)Se QW is heated by the phonon impact only. The phonons are generated by photocreated carriers in GaAs at distances of less than 1 μ m from the (Zn,Mn)Se QW and thus the delay of phonon impact is less



FIG. 7. Dynamics of the Mn temperature $T_{\rm Mn}$ for 355 nm ($P \approx 9 \text{ kW/cm}^2$, double impact by carriers and phonons) and 532 nm ($P \approx 15 \text{ kW/cm}^2$, single impact by phonons only) laser excitations in Zn_{0.89}Mn_{0.11}Se/Zn_{0.89}Be_{0.11}Se QWs. Experimental data are given by triangles and circles, respectively. The solid lines show fits of the SLR dynamics with $\tau_{SLR}=25$ ns. The bath temperature of 1.6 K is indicated by the horizontal dashed line. The vertical dashed line indicates the maximum of laser pulse at 50 ns. Note the logarithmic time scale in panel (b). The *inset* shows spin-lattice and nonequilibrium phonon relaxation times measured for different powers of 355 nm laser excitation. B=3 T.

than ~1 ns, which is negligible for our particular experimental situation. The SLR time in this case controls the rise of the $T_{Mn}(t)$ signal [Fig. 3(b)]. The dynamics is given by circles in Fig. 7(a) from which a $\tau_{SLR} \approx 25$ ns has been extracted from a fit to the data, shown by the solid line. The decay of this signal with a time constant of about 0.6 μ s is due to the phonon dynamics, see Fig. 7(b).

355 nm wavelength excitation (photon energy 3.49 eV) leads to absorption in the immediate region of the II-VI heterostructure and should cause a double impact. The dynamics of the Mn system shown by triangles in the Fig. 7(a) follows the scenario of Fig. 3(c). The carrier impact drives up the Mn temperature to $\Theta_c \approx 13$ K during the 10 ns of laser pulse action. Afterwards the Mn system relaxes on a time scale of $\tau_{SLR} \approx 25$ ns to $\Theta_{ph} \approx 4.2$ K, which is controlled by the phonon impact. At delay times longer than ~100 ns, $T_{Mn}(t)$ follows the phonon impact $I_{ph}(t)$ as can be seen from Fig. 7(b) which gives the data on a logarithmic scale in order to show a wide time range.

An independent confirmation to our assignment of the dynamical ranges is derived from the power dependence [inset of Fig. 7(b)]. The SLR time decreases from 70 down to 20 ns with increasing power. This is in accord with the known trend of the shorter SLR times at higher lattice temperatures.^{6,10} Simultaneously the time characterizing the phonon dynamics increases from 350 to 1200 ns, which is due to a strong decrease of the mean free path of non-equilibrium phonons with increase of their average frequency.²⁵ Thus, under higher optical excitation, the propagation of phonons is hindered and becomes slower,^{25,26} resulting in longer lifetimes of non-equilibrium phonons inside the sample.²³

The values of τ_{SLR} obtained for sample #11 (x=0.11) at the lowest and highest power densities are shown in Fig. 6 by two data points corresponding to x=0.11. It should be noted that τ_{SLR} for sample #10 (x=0.1) was measured under high excitation density of $P \approx 100 \text{ kW/cm}^2$, and thus corresponds to a spin-lattice relaxation time at a temperature higher than the bath temperature. A shift of its expected value to the regime of low excitation density is shown by the arrow.

Let us note again a remarkable coincidence of the SLR times in a wide range of Mn concentrations for (Zn, Mn)Se and (Cd, Mn)Te materials shown in Fig. 6. In (Zn, Mn)Se, contrary to (Cd, Mn)Te with x < 0.3, an internal Mn²⁺ (3d⁵) shell) transition ${}^{6}A_{1} \rightarrow {}^{7}T_{1}$ can be excited by energy transfer from excitonic states.^{27–29} The total spin of the excited state is 3/2 instead of the 5/2 of the ground state of the Mn^{2+} ion, and therefore, an additional mechanism for the optically induced reduction of the magnetization could be taken into consideration. However, this mechanism does not contribute to the experimental results on the SLR times reported in this paper probably due to small amount of excited Mn²⁺ ions. We have few experimental arguments for that: (i) Similar SLR times received for the two material systems from Fig. 6; (ii) our results coincide well with the SLR time in (Zn,Mn)Se measured by injection of nonequilibrium phonons, the technique which excludes internal excitation of the Mn^{2+} ion;^{17,15} (iii) the same relaxation times for the samples from Fig. 5 we have measured under 532 nm photo excitation, which does not generate excitons in (Zn, Mn)Se layers.

F. Discussion: Concentration dependence of SLR times

Let us now discuss the physical mechanisms responsible for the strong dependence of SLR time on the Mn content. As it is seen from Fig. 6, the SLR times of Mn ions in (Zn,Mn)Se cover the dynamical range of five orders of magnitude from 10^{-3} down to 10^{-8} s, when the Mn concentration varies from 0.004 up to 0.11. The literature data for (Cd,Mn)Te, given by open symbols in Fig. 6, follow closely the dependence for (Zn,Mn)Se confirming that the property of the host II-VI semiconductor is not of major importance and that the SLR mechanism is caused by Mn-Mn exchange interactions in the magnetic ion system.

It is known that an isolated Mn²⁺ ion in a perfect II-VI semiconductor crystal has no coupling with the lattice.³⁰ Mechanisms providing the spin-lattice relaxation of magnetic ions are due to anisotropic interactions of neighboring

Mn spins, which couples them antiferromagnetically in clusters.^{10,12,31} The Dzyaloshinski-Moriya mechanism is well established as the dominant anisotropic interaction in DMS.^{32,33} Number of Mn spins coupled in clusters and the typical cluster size increase progressively for growing Mn concentration³⁴ and this is the reason for the strong dependence of the SLR time on the Mn concentration. It can be shown in the frame of a simple model, which is accounted for the spin diffusion from the Mn ions to the Mn clusters, where the spin has efficient relaxation, that the SLR rate $1/\tau_{SLR}$ has a strong dependence on Mn content with a power law between x^3 and x^4 .³⁵ This is in good qualitative agreement with experimental results from Fig. 6.

Parameters of host II-VI materials should not change the strong trend of SLR time dependence on the Mn concentration. But some quantitative variations in τ_{SLR} can be expected. Strength of Mn-Mn interaction depends on the lattice constant, type of crystallographic lattice and the anion atom, which orbitals are involved in the indirect exchange interaction between magnetic ions.⁴ Also clustering depends on the number of neighbors in the first, second, and third coordination spheres in the cation sublattice, which is controlled by the lattice type. (Zn, Mn)Se grown on a GaAs substrate and (Cd, Mn)Te have the zinc-blende lattice structure. Lattice constant in (Zn, Mn)Se of 5.67 Å is smaller than 6.50 Å in (Cd,Mn)Te, the respective distances between the nearestneighbor magnetic ions are 4.0 and 4.6 Å. The coupling constant of the Heisenberg interaction between nearestneighboring magnetic ions in (Zn,Mn)Se is larger than in (Cd, Mn)Te, the respective values are -12.3 K (wurtzite lattice) and -6.3 K (zinc-blende).⁴ The larger coupling constant in (Zn,Mn)Se may suggest faster SLR dynamics, which, however, is not confirmed by the results from Fig. 6. Obviously, further experimental and theoretical efforts are required to clarify the influence of the host material on spinlattice relaxation rate of Mn²⁺ ions.

It is important to note, that the studied samples have thicknesses of DMS layers not exceeding 100-150 Å. As a result, the SLR dynamics of Mn ions is free of the phonon bottleneck effect, which might strongly modify (slow down) spin dynamics in bulk DMS's (see Ref. 6 and references therein). On the other hand these layers are thick enough to neglect quantum confinement effects for the Mn ions.

G. Effect of free carriers on SLR

The dynamics of the spin-lattice relaxation can be modified significantly by the presence of free carriers, which are strongly coupled with both the magnetic ions and the phonons. Therefore, the free carriers may serve as a bypass channel for the slow direct spin-lattice relaxation (see scheme in Fig. 8). An acceleration of SLR has been experimentally found in (Cd, Mn)Te QWs modulation doped with either electrons or holes.^{13,14}

In order to study this effect in (Zn, Mn)Se QWs, we examine the set of samples #1-#4 with x=0.004 and electron densities varying from 10^{10} (nominally undoped) up to 5.5×10^{11} cm⁻². The measured SLR times in Fig. 8 show a decrease from 960 down to 70 μ s, which means that in the



FIG. 8. Dependence of the spin-lattice relaxation time τ_{SLR} on the concentration of free electrons n_e in $Zn_{0.996}Mn_{0.004}Se/Zn_{0.94}Be_{0.06}Se$ QWs. The solid line is a guide to the eye. Scheme in the *inset* illustrates the bypass channel for energy transfer from the Mn system to the lattice through the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG).

doped samples τ_{SLR} is 14 times shorter than in the undoped case, confirming that the SLR dynamics is dominated by free carriers.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Pulsed laser excitation is a very reliable tool to measure spin-lattice relaxation times in DMS heterostructures. Its implementation allows us to extend the dynamical range for measurements of the SLR times to cover magnetic ion concentrations from 0.4 up to 11% in (Zn,Mn)Se-based heterostructures. The spin-lattice relaxation times of Mn ions vary by five orders of magnitude from 10^{-3} down to 10^{-8} s. The relaxation times and their dependence on the Mn content are very similar in (Zn,Mn)Se and (Cd,Mn)Te DMS materials. This confirms that the SLR dynamics is controlled by interactions within the system of Mn ions, but is only weakly sensitive to the II-VI semiconductor host material, into which the Mn ions are embedded.

The presence of free carriers has an important influence on the spin dynamics of the magnetic ions. The dominating implication of the laser pulse on the Mn heating comes from hot photocarriers which transfer their excess kinetic energy to the Mn spin system. Also the presence of a twodimensional electron gas in modulation doped samples may accelerate the SLR dynamics by more than an order of magnitude, offering a way to control SLR dynamics by applying an electric field through a gate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate fruitful discussions with R. A. Suris, A. V. Akimov, and V. D. Kulakovskii. We are thankful to the Roper Scientific GmbH, Germany for lending us the PIMAX gated CCD camera used for the time-resolved experiments. This work has been supported by the BMBF nanoquit program, the DARPA QuIST program, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft with the research stays in Dortmund of A.A.M. (Grant Nos. DFG 436RUS17/81/04, DFG

436 RUS 17/49/05) and I.I.T. (Grant Nos. DFG 436 RUS 17/80/03, DFG 436 RUS 17/97/04) as well as within the Sonderforschungsbereich 410. Also support by the Russian

Foundation for Basic Research (Grant Nos. 05-02-17288, 04-02-16852), and by INTAS (Grant No. 03-51-5266) is acknowledged.

- *Also at A.F. Ioffe Physico-Technical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, 194021 St. Petersburg, Russia.
- ¹Semiconductor Spintronics and Quantum Computation, edited by D. D. Awschalom, D. Loss, and N. Samarth (Springer, Heidelberg, 2002).
- ²Magnetism Beyond 2000, edited by A. J. Freeman and S. D. Bader (Elsevier, North-Holland, 1999).
- ³J. K. Furdyna, J. Appl. Phys. **64**, R29 (1988).
- ⁴T. Dietl, in *Handbook of Semiconductors, Vol. 3b*, edited by S. Mahajan (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1994), p. 1252.
- ⁵T. Dietl, P. Peyla, W. Grieshaber, and Y. Merle d'Aubigne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 474 (1995).
- ⁶A. V. Scherbakov, A. V. Akimov, D. R. Yakovlev, W. Ossau, G. Landwehr, T. Wojtowicz, G. Karczewski, and J. Kossut, Phys. Rev. B **62**, R10641 (2000).
- ⁷D. R. Yakovlev, M. Kneip, A. A. Maksimov, I. I. Tartakovskii, M. Bayer, D. Keller, W. Ossau, L. W. Molenkamp, A. V. Scherbakov, A. V. Akimov, and A. Waag, Phys. Status Solidi C 1, 989 (2004).
- ⁸D. Scalbert, J. Chernogora, and C. Benoit a la Guillaume, Solid State Commun. **66**, 571 (1988).
- ⁹V. Bindilatti, T. Q. Vu, and Y. Shapira, Solid State Commun. 77, 423 (1991).
- ¹⁰W. Farah, D. Scalbert, and M. Nawrocki, Phys. Rev. B 53, R10461 (1996).
- ¹¹T. Strutz, A. M. Witowski, and P. Wyder, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3912 (1992).
- ¹²D. Scalbert, Phys. Status Solidi B 193, 189 (1996).
- ¹³A. V. Scherbakov, D. R. Yakovlev, A. V. Akimov, I. A. Merkulov, B. König, W. Ossau, L. W. Molenkamp, T. Wojtowicz, G. Karczewski, G. Cywinski, and J. Kossut, Phys. Rev. B 64, 155205 (2001).
- ¹⁴A. V. Scherbakov, A. V. Akimov, D. R. Yakovlev, W. Ossau, L. W. Molenkamp, S. Tatarenko, and J. Cibert, Solid State Commun. **120**, 17 (2001).
- ¹⁵A. V. Scherbakov, A. V. Akimov, D. R. Yakovlev, W. Ossau, L. Hansen, A. Waag, and L. W. Molenkamp, Appl. Phys. Lett. 86, 162104 (2005).
- ¹⁶B. König, U. Zehnder, D. R. Yakovlev, W. Ossau, T. Gerhard, M. Keim, A. Waag, and G. Landwehr, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 2653 (1999).
- ¹⁷D. Keller, D. R. Yakovlev, B. König, W. Ossau, Th. Gruber, A. Waag, L. W. Molenkamp, and A. V. Scherbakov, Phys. Rev. B

65, 035313 (2002).

- ¹⁸B. König, I. A. Merkulov, D. R. Yakovlev, W. Ossau, S. M. Ryabchenko, M. Kutrowski, T. Wojtowicz, G. Karczewski, and J. Kossut, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 16870 (2000).
- ¹⁹A. V. Koudinov, Yu. G. Kusrayev, and I. G. Aksyanov, Phys. Rev. B 68, 085315 (2003).
- ²⁰A. Hundt, J. Puls, A. V. Akimov, and F. Henneberger, Phys. Status Solidi C 2, 867 (2005).
- ²¹D. R. Yakovlev, M. Kneip, M. Bayer, A. A. Maksimov, I. I. Tartakovskii, A. V. Scherbakov, A. V. Akimov, D. Keller, W. Ossau, L. W. Molenkamp, and A. Waag, in *PHYSICS OF SEMI-CONDUCTORS: 27th International Conference on the Physics of Semiconductors*, edited by J. Menendez and C. G. Van de Walle, AIP Conf. Proc. No. 772 (AIP, Melville, NY, 2005), p. 1301; M. K. Kneip, D. R. Yakovlev, M. Bayer, A. A. Maksimov, I. I. Tartakovskii, D. Keller, W. Ossau, L. W. Molenkamp, and A. Waag, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 035306 (2006).
- ²²D. R. Yakovlev, J. Puls, G. V. Mikhailov, G. V. Astakhov, V. P. Kochereshko, W. Ossau, J. Nürnberger, W. Faschinger, F. Henneberger, and G. Landwehr, Phys. Status Solidi A **178**, 501 (2000).
- ²³J. Shah, R. F. Leheny, and W. F. Brinkman, Phys. Rev. B 10, 659 (1974).
- ²⁴A. Twardowski, M. von Ortenberg, M. Demianiuk, and R. Pauthenet, Solid State Commun. **51**, 849 (1984).
- ²⁵A. A. Maksimov and I. I. Tartakovskii, JETP Lett. **42**, 568 (1985).
- ²⁶D. V. Kazakovtsev, A. A. Maksimov, D. A. Pronin, and I. I. Tartakovski, JETP Lett. **49**, 61 (1989).
- ²⁷D. Some and A. V. Nurmikko, Phys. Rev. B 48, 4418 (1993).
- ²⁸D. Leinen, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 6975 (1997).
- ²⁹ H. Falk, J. Hübner, P. J. Klar, and W. Heimbrodt, Phys. Rev. B 68, 165203 (2003).
- ³⁰A. Abragam and B. Bleaney, *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions* (Dover, New York, 1970).
- ³¹X. Wang, M. Dahl, D. Heiman, P. A. Wolff, and P. Becla, Phys. Rev. B 46, 11216 (1992).
- ³²I. Dzyaloshinski, Phys. Chem. Solids 4, 241 (1958).
- ³³T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. **120**, 91 (1960).
- ³⁴B. E. Larson, K. C. Hass, and R. L. Aggarwal, Phys. Rev. B 33, 1789 (1986).
- ³⁵R. A. Suris (private communication).